# Some characterizations of constant breadth spacelike curves in Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$ 

Huseyin Kocayiğit and Zennure Çiçek<br>Celal Bayar University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Manisa, Turkey

Received: 10 December 2014, Revised: 31 December 2014, Accepted: 8 January 2015
Published online: 19 January 2015


#### Abstract

In this study, the differential equation characterizations of constant breadth spacelike curves are given in the Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$. Furthermore, a criterion for a spacelike curve to be a curve of constant breadth in $E_{1}^{4}$ is introduced. As an example, the obtained results are applied to the case that the curvatures $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are constants and are discussed.
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## 1 Introduction

Euler introduced the constant breadth curves in 1778 [6]. He considered these special curves in the plane. Later, many mathematicians have studied these curves. Struik published a brief review of the most important publications on this subject [18]. Also, Ball [1], Barbier [2], Blaschke [3,4] and Mellish [12] investigated the properties of plane curves of constant breadth. Fujiwara obtained a space curve of constant breadth by taking a closed curve whose normal plane at a point $P$ has only one more point $Q$ in common with the curve, and for which the distance $d(P, Q)$ is constant [7]. He also defined and studied constant breadth surfaces. Later, Smakal studied the constant breadth space curves [17]. Furthermore, Blaschke considered the notion of curve of constant breadth on the sphere [4]. Moreover, Reuleaux studied the curves of constant breadth and gave the method related to these curves for the kinematics of machinery [14]. Then, constant breadth curves had an importance for engineering sciences and Tanaka used the constant breadth curves in the kinematics design of Com follower systems [19].

Moreover, Köse has presented some concepts for constant breadth space curves in Euclidean 3-space in [10] and Sezer has obtained the differential equations characterizing constant breadth space curves and introduced a criterion for these curves [16]. Constant breadth curves were investigated by Mağden and Köse in Euclidean 4-space [11]. Moreover, constant breath curves have been studied in Minkowski space. Kazaz, Önder and Kocayiğit have studied spacelike curves of constant breadth in Minkowski 4-space [8]. Önder, Kocayiğit and Candan have obtained and studied the differential equations characterizing constant breadth curves in Minkowski 3-space [13]. Furthermore, Kocayiğit and Önder have showed that constant breadth spacelike curves are helices, normal curves and spherical curves in some special cases in Minkowski 3-space [9].

In this study, we give differential equations characterizing spacelike curves of constant breadth in the Minkowski 4 -space $E_{1}^{4}$. Furthermore, we give a criterion characterizing these curves in $E_{1}^{4}$.

[^0]
## 2 Differential Equations Characterizing Constant Breadth Spacelike Curves in $E_{1}^{4}$

Let $(C)$ be a unit speed regular spacelike curve in the Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$ with parametrization $\alpha: I \subset I R \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$. Denote by $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}\}$ the moving Frenet frame along the spacelike curve $(C)$ in $E_{1}^{4}$. Then, we can give following Frenet formulae,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{T}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{N}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{E}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & k_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon_{1} k_{1} & 0 & k_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{2} k_{2} & 0 & k_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{1} k_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{T} \\
\mathbf{N} \\
\mathbf{B} \\
\mathbf{E}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ express the first, second and third curvatures of the curve $(C)$, respectively and $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}$ express tangent, principal normal, first binormal and second binormal, respectively and they satisfy the following equalities [20].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T})=1, g(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N})=\varepsilon_{1}, g(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})=\varepsilon_{2}, g(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B})=-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}, \\
& g(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N})=g(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{B})=g(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{E})=g(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B})=0 \\
& \varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=\mp 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle is the Lorentzian inner product defined by$

$$
\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\rangle=-a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}+a_{4} b_{4},
$$

here $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right), \mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)$ are the vectors in $E_{1}^{4}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $(C)$ be a unit speed regular spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{4}$, and let $\alpha(s)$ position vector of the curve ( $C$ ). If the curve $(C)$ has parallel tangents $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ in opposite direction at the opposite points $\alpha(s)$ and $\alpha^{*}(s)$ of the curve and the distance between opposite points is always constant then the curve $(C)$ is named a spacelike curve of constant breadth in $E_{1}^{4}$. Furthermore, a pair of spacelike curves $(C)$ and $\left(C^{*}\right)$, for which the tangent vectors at the corresponding points are in opposite directions and parallel, and the distance between corresponding points is always constant, is called a spacelike curve pair of constant breadth in $E_{1}^{4}$.

We suppose that $(C)$ and $\left(C^{*}\right)$ be a pair of unit speed spacelike curves in $E_{1}^{4}$ with position vectors $\alpha(s)$ and $\alpha^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)$, where $s$ and $s^{*}$ are arc length parameters of the curves, respectively, and let $(C)$ and $\left(C^{*}\right)$ have parallel tangents in opposite directions at the opposite points. Then the curve $\left(C^{*}\right)$ may be represented by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{*}(s)=\alpha(s)+m_{1}(s) \mathbf{T}(s)+m_{2}(s) \mathbf{N}(s)+m_{3}(s) \mathbf{B}(s)+m_{4}(s) \mathbf{E}(s) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i}(s),(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are differentiable functions of $s$. Differentiating Eq.(1) with respect to $s$ and using the Frenet formulae we gain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \alpha^{*}}{d s} & =\mathbf{T}^{*} \frac{d s^{*}}{d s}=\left(1+\frac{d m_{1}}{d s}-\varepsilon_{1} m_{2} k_{1}\right) \mathbf{T}+\left(m_{1} k_{1}+\frac{d m_{2}}{d s}+\varepsilon_{2} m_{3} k_{2}\right) \mathbf{N} \\
& +\left(m_{2} k_{2}+\frac{d m_{3}}{d s}+\varepsilon_{1} k_{3} m_{4}\right) \mathbf{B}+\left(m_{3} k_{3}+\frac{d m_{4}}{d s}\right) E
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbf{T}=-\mathbf{T}^{*}$ at the corresponding points of $(C)$ and $\left(C^{*}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+\frac{d m_{1}}{d s}-\varepsilon_{1} m_{2} k_{1}=-\frac{d s^{*}}{d s}  \tag{2}\\
m_{1} k_{1}+\frac{d m_{2}}{d s}+\varepsilon_{2} m_{3} k_{2}=0 \\
m_{2} k_{2}+\frac{d m_{3}}{d s}+\varepsilon_{1} m_{4} k_{3}=0 \\
m_{3} k_{3}+\frac{d m_{4}}{d s}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We know that the curvature of the curve $(C)$ is $\lim (\Delta \varphi / \Delta s)=(d \varphi / d s)=k_{1}(s)$, where $\varphi=\int_{0}^{s} k_{1}(s) d s$ is the angle between tangent vectors of the curve $(C)$ and a given fixed direction at the point $\alpha(s)$. Then from (2) we have the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}-f(\phi), m_{2}^{\prime}=-m_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2}-m_{1}, m_{3}^{\prime}=-m_{4} \varepsilon_{1} \rho k_{3}-m_{2} \rho k_{2}, m_{4} \prime=-m_{3} \rho k_{3} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and after we will use $\left(^{\prime}\right)$ to show the differentiation with respect to $\varphi$. In (3), $f(\varphi)=\rho+\rho^{*}$ and, $\rho=\frac{1}{k_{1}}$ and $\rho^{*}=\frac{1}{k_{1}^{*}}$ are the radius of curvatures at the points $\alpha(s)$ and $\alpha^{*}\left(s^{*}\right)$, respectively. From (3) eliminating $m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}$ and their derivatives we obtain following differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \varphi}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\rho k_{3}} \frac{d}{d \varphi}\left[\frac{1}{\rho k_{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{d^{2} m_{1}}{d \varphi^{2}}+m_{1}\right)\right]-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}} \frac{d m_{1}}{d \varphi}\right]-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{d^{2} m_{1}}{d \varphi^{2}}+m_{1}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& +\frac{d}{d \varphi}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\rho k_{3}} \frac{d}{d \varphi}\left(\frac{1}{\rho k_{2}} \varepsilon_{1} \frac{d f}{d \varphi}\right)-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}} f\right]-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \varepsilon_{1} \frac{d f}{d \varphi}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the following theorem can be given.

Theorem 2.1. The general differential equation characterizing constant breadth spacelike curves in $E_{1}^{4}$ is given by (4).
Let now consider the system (3) again. The distance $d$ between the opposite points is constant. Then we can write following equality.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}=\|\mathbf{d}\|^{2}=\left\|\alpha^{*}-\alpha\right\|^{2}=m_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} m_{3}^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} m_{4}^{2}=\text { const } . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the system (3) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\varphi)=\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}, m_{2}^{\prime}=-m_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2}, m_{3}^{\prime}=-\varepsilon_{1} m_{4} \rho k_{3}-m_{2} \rho k_{2}, m_{4}^{\prime}=-m_{3} \rho k_{3}, m_{1}=0, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}, m_{2}^{\prime}=-m_{1}-m_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2}, m_{3}^{\prime}=-m_{4} \varepsilon_{1} \rho k_{3}-m_{2} \rho k_{2}, \quad m_{4} \prime=-m_{3} \rho k_{3}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, the system (7) describes the curve (1).

Let us consider the system (7) with special chosen $m_{1}=$ const. Here, eliminating first $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ and their derivatives, and then $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{4}$ and their derivatives, respectively, we gain following linear differential equations of second order

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\rho k_{3}\right) m_{4} \prime \prime-\left(\rho k_{3}\right)^{\prime} m_{4}^{\prime}-\varepsilon_{1}\left(\rho k_{3}\right)^{3} m_{4}=0, \rho k_{2} \neq 0  \tag{8}\\
\left(\rho k_{3}\right) m_{3} \prime \prime-\left(\rho k_{3}\right) / m_{3}^{\prime}-\varepsilon_{1}\left(\rho k_{3}\right)^{3} m_{3}=0, \rho k_{3} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By changing the variable $\varphi$ of the form $\xi=\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho(t) k_{3}(t) d t$, Equations given by (8) can be transformed the differential equations with constant coefficients as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} m_{4}}{d \xi^{2}}-\varepsilon_{1} m_{4}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d^{2} m_{3}}{d \xi^{2}}-\varepsilon_{1} m_{3}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. If $\varepsilon_{1}=1$. Then, the general solutions of differential equations (9) are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{3}=A \cosh \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right)+B \sinh \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right),  \tag{10}\\
m_{4}=C \cosh \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right)+D \sinh \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively, where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are real constants. Substituting (10) into (7), we have $A=-D, B=-C$. Thus, the solution set of the system (7), in the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}=c=\text { const }, m_{2}=0,  \tag{11}\\
m_{3}=A \cosh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+B \sinh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t, \\
m_{4}=-B \cosh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-A \sinh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$d^{2}=\left\|\alpha^{*}-\alpha\right\|^{2}=$ const.,so from (11) the breadth of the curve is $k^{2}=c^{2}-\varepsilon_{2} A^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} B^{2}$. If $\varepsilon_{1}=-1$. Then, the general solutions of differential equations (9) are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{3}=A \cos \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right)+B \sin \left(\begin{array}{l}
\varphi \\
0
\end{array} k_{3} d t\right),  \tag{12}\\
m_{4}=C \cos \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right)+D \sin \left(\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively, where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are real constants. Substituting (12) into (7), we gain $A=D, B=C$. Thus, the solution set of the system (7) can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}=c=\text { const., } m_{2}=0,  \tag{13}\\
m_{3}=A \cos \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+B \sin \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t, \\
m_{4}=B \cos \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-A \sin \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$d^{2}=\left\|\alpha^{*}-\alpha\right\|^{2}=$ const.,so from (13) the breadth of the curve is $k^{2}=c^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} A^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} B^{2}$.
Now, let us return to the system (6) with $m_{1}=0$. By changing the variable $\varphi$ of the form $u=\int_{0}^{\varphi} \mu(t) d t, \mu=\rho k_{3}$ and eliminating $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{4}$ and their derivatives we obtain the following linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} m_{3}}{d u^{2}}-\varepsilon_{1} m_{3}=-\frac{d}{d u}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}} m_{2}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{1}=1$, then we have following solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{3}=A_{1} \cosh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+B_{1} \sinh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-\int_{0}^{\varphi} \cosh [u(\varphi)-u(t)] \rho k_{2} f(t) d t . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon=-1$, then we have following solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{3}=A_{1} \cos \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+B_{1} \sin \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+\int_{0}^{\varphi} \cos [u(\varphi)-u(t)] \rho k_{2} f(t) d t \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the general solution of the system (6) is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}=0  \tag{17}\\
m_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} f(\varphi),\left(\varepsilon_{1}=\mp 1\right) \\
m_{3}=A_{1} \cosh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+B_{1} \sinh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-\int_{0}^{\varphi} \cosh [u(\varphi)-u(t)] \rho k_{2} f(t) d t,\left(\varepsilon_{1}=1\right) \\
m_{3}=A_{1} \cos \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+B_{1} \sin \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+\int_{0}^{\varphi} \cos [u(\varphi)-u(t)] \rho k_{2} f(t) d t,\left(\varepsilon_{1}=-1\right) \\
m_{4}=-B_{1} \cosh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-A_{1} \sinh \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t+\int_{0}^{\varphi} \sinh [u(\varphi)-u(t)] \rho k_{2} f(t) d t,\left(\varepsilon_{1}=1\right) \\
m_{4}=B_{1} \cos \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-A_{1} \sin \int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{3} d t-\int_{0}^{\varphi} \sin [u(\varphi)-u(t)] \rho k_{2} f(t) d t\left(\varepsilon_{1}=-1\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which determines the constant breadth spacelike curve in (1) where $A_{1}, B_{1}$ are real constants.

Furthermore, in this case, i.e., $m_{1}=0$, from (4) we can write following differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \varphi}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\rho k_{3}} \frac{d}{d \varphi}\left(\frac{1}{\rho k_{2}} \frac{d f}{d \varphi}\right)-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}} f\right]-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \frac{d f}{d \varphi}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing the variable $\varphi$ of the form $w=\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho k_{2} d \varphi$, (18) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d w}\left[\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}} \varepsilon_{1}\left(\frac{d^{2} f}{d w^{2}}-\varepsilon_{2} f\right)\right]-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \frac{d f}{d w}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also determines the constant breadth curve in (1).

On the other hand let us consider a unit speed simple closed spacelike curve $(C)$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ for which the normal plane of every point $P$ on the curve meets the curve of a single opposite point $Q$ other than $P$. Then, we can give following theorem related to spacelike curves of constant breadth in $E_{1}^{4}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(C)$ be a closed spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ having parallel tangents in opposite directions at the opposite points of the curve. The chord joining the opposite points of $(C)$ is a double-normal if and only if $(C)$ is a constant breadth spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{4}$.

Proof: Let the vector $\mathbf{d}=\alpha^{*}-\alpha=m_{1} \mathbf{T}+m_{2} \mathbf{N}+m_{3} \mathbf{B}+m_{4} \mathbf{E}$ be a double-normal of $(\boldsymbol{C})$ where $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ and $m_{4}$ are the functions of $s$, the arc length parameter of the curve. Then we get $\left\langle\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{T}^{*}\right\rangle=-\langle\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{T}\rangle=m_{1}=0$. Thus from (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2} \frac{d m_{2}}{d s}+m_{3} \frac{d m_{3}}{d s}+m_{4} \frac{d m_{4}}{d s}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} m_{3}^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} m_{4}^{2}=$ const.

Conversely, if the curve $(C)$ is a constant breadth spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ then $\|\mathbf{d}\|^{2}=m_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} m_{3}^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} m_{4}^{2}=$ const. Then as shown, $m_{1}=0$. This means that $\mathbf{d}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{*}$. So, $\mathbf{d}$ is double normal of the curve $(C)$.

A simple closed spacelike curve having parallel tangents in opposite directions at opposite points can be expressed by the system (17). In this state, a pair of opposite points of the curve is $\left(\alpha^{*}(\varphi), \alpha(\varphi)\right)$ for $\varphi$, where $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$. Since the
curve $(C)$ is a simple closed spacelike curve we get $\alpha^{*}(0)=\alpha^{*}(2 \pi)$. Hence from (14) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \rho k_{3} d t=2 n \pi, \quad(n \in Z) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equality $d s=\rho d \varphi$, this formula can be written as $\int_{C} k_{3} d s=2 n \pi, \quad(n \in \mathrm{Z})$. This says that the third integral curvature of the curve $(C)$ is zero. So, we can write following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. The total third curvature of a simple closed spacelike curve $(C)$ of constant breadth is $2 n \pi,(n \in \mathrm{Z})$.
Furthermore, if we take $\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3}}=a=$ constant, then from (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{3} f}{d w^{3}}-K \frac{d f}{d w}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\varepsilon_{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1} a^{2}}$. If we assume $K \neq \pm 1$, the general solution of (19) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=A_{2} \sinh \int_{0}^{\varphi} K \rho k_{2} d t+B_{2} \cosh \int_{0}^{\varphi} K \rho k_{2} d t+C_{1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{2}, B_{2}$ and $C_{1}$ are real constants. Since the curve $(C)$ is a simple closed spacelike curve, i.e., $\alpha^{*}(0)=\alpha^{*}(2 \pi)$, from (23) it follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\varphi} K \rho k_{2} d t=2 n \pi, \quad(n \in Z) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the equality $d s=\rho d \varphi$, this formula may be given as $\int_{C} k_{2} d s=2 \frac{n}{K} \pi, \quad(K, n \in \mathrm{Z})$. This says that the second integral curvature of the curve $(C)$ is $2 \frac{n}{K} \pi, \quad(K, n \in \mathrm{Z})$. Then, we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. The total second curvature of a simple closed spacelike curve $(C)$ of constant breadth with $a=k_{2} / k_{3}=$ constant is $2 \frac{n}{K} \pi$, where $n \in \mathrm{Z}$ and $K=\varepsilon_{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1} a^{2}}$.

## 3 A Criterion for Constant Breadth Spacelike Curves in $E_{1}^{4}$

Let us suppose that the curve $(C)$ is a constant breadth spacelike curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ and $\alpha(s)$ denotes the position vector of the curve. If $(C)$ is a closed curve, then the position vector $\alpha(s)$ must be a periodic function of period $\omega=2 \pi$, where $\omega$ is the total length of $(C)$. Then the curvatures $k_{1}(s), k_{2}(s)$ and $k_{3}(s)$ are also periodic of the same period. However, periodicity of the curvatures and closeness of the curve are not sufficient to guarantee that a spacelike space curve is a constant breadth curve in $E_{1}^{4}$. That is, if a spacelike curve is closed curve (periodic), it may be the constant breadth curve or not. Therefore, to guarantee that a spacelike curve is a constant breadth curve, we may use the system (7) characterizing a constant breadth spacelike curve and follow the similar way given in [5].

For this purpose, first we condider the following Frenet formulas at a generic point on the curve $(C)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T}{d s}=k_{1} \mathbf{N}, \frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s}=-\varepsilon_{1} k_{1} T+k_{2} \mathbf{B}, \frac{d \mathbf{B}}{d s}=\varepsilon_{2} k_{2} \mathbf{N}+k_{3} E, \frac{d E}{d s}=-k_{3} \varepsilon_{1} B \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By expressing the formula (22) in terms of $\varphi$ and allowing for $\frac{d \varphi}{d s}=k_{1}=\frac{1}{\rho}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T}{d \varphi}=\mathbf{N}, \frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d \varphi}=-\varepsilon_{1} T+\rho k_{2} \mathbf{B}, \frac{d \mathbf{B}}{d \varphi}=\varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} \mathbf{N}+\rho k_{3} E, \frac{d E}{d \varphi}=-\rho k_{3} B \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we can write the Frenet vectors $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}$ in the coordinate forms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sum_{i=1}^{4} t_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, \quad N=\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, \quad B=\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_{i} e_{i}, \quad \mathbf{E}=\sum_{i=0}^{4} \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{i} e_{i} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}\}$ is the orthonormal base in $E_{1}^{4}$. So, substituting (27) and their derivatives into (26), we get the systems of linear differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d t_{1}}{d \varphi}=n_{1}, \quad \frac{d t_{2}}{d \varphi}=n_{2}, \quad \frac{d t_{3}}{d \varphi}=n_{3}, \frac{d t_{4}}{d \varphi}=n_{4}  \tag{28}\\
\frac{d n_{1}}{d \varphi}=-\varepsilon_{1} t_{1}+\rho k_{2} b_{1}, \frac{d n_{2}}{d \varphi}=-\varepsilon_{1} t_{2}+\rho k_{2} b_{2}, \frac{d n_{3}}{d \varphi}=-\varepsilon_{1} t_{3}+\rho k_{2} b_{3}, \frac{d n_{4}}{d \varphi}=-\varepsilon_{1} t_{4}+\rho k_{2} b_{4} \\
\frac{d b_{1}}{d \varphi}=\rho k_{3} \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} n_{1}, \frac{d b_{2}}{d \varphi}=\rho k_{3} \hat{e}_{2}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} n_{2}, \frac{d b_{3}}{d \varphi}=\rho k_{3} \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{3}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} n_{3}, \frac{d b_{4}}{d \varphi}=\rho k_{3} \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{4}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} n_{4} \\
\frac{d \varepsilon_{1}}{d \varphi}=-\rho k_{3} b_{1}, \frac{d \varepsilon_{2}}{d \varphi}=-\rho k_{3} b_{2}, \frac{d \varepsilon_{3}}{d \varphi}=-\rho k_{3} b_{3}, \frac{d d 4_{4}}{d \varphi}=-\rho k_{3} b_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (28), we find that $\left\{t_{1}, n_{1}, b_{1}, \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{1}\right\},\left\{t_{2}, n_{2}, b_{2}, \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{2}\right\},\left\{t_{3}, n_{3}, b_{3}, \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{3}\right\},\left\{t_{4}, n_{4}, b_{4}, \hat{\mathrm{e}}_{4}\right\}$ are four independent solutions of the following system of differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \psi_{1}}{d \varphi}=\psi_{2}, \quad \frac{d \psi_{2}}{d \varphi}=-\psi_{1}+\rho k_{2} \psi_{3}, \frac{d \psi_{3}}{d \varphi}=\rho k_{3} \psi_{4}-\rho k_{2} \psi_{2}, \frac{d \psi_{4}}{d \varphi}=-\rho k_{3} \psi_{3} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the curve $(C)$ is the constant breadth spacelike curve, then the systems (7) and (29) must be the same system. So, we observe that $\psi_{1}=m_{1}, \psi_{2}=m_{2}, \psi_{3}=m_{3}, \psi_{4}=m_{4}$. For brevity, we can express (7) or (29) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \psi}{d \varphi}=A(\varphi) \psi \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi=\left[\begin{array}{l}
m_{1} \\
m_{2} \\
m_{3} \\
m_{4}
\end{array}\right], \quad A(\varphi)=\left[\begin{array}{llcl}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon_{1} & 0 & \rho k_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} & 0 & \rho k_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{1} \rho k_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Obviously, (30) is a special case of the general linear differential equations shortened to the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \psi}{d t}=A(t) \psi,\left[\begin{array}{l}
m_{1} \\
m_{2} \\
\vdots \\
m_{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad A(t)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right],(4 \leq n) \tag{31}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{i j}(t)$ are assumed to be periodic and continuous of period $\omega$ (See [5,15]). Let the initial conditions be $\psi_{i}(0)=$ $x_{i}, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Let us take $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T}$ and

$$
\psi(t, x)=\left[m_{1}(t, x), m_{2}(t, x), \ldots m_{n}(t, x)\right]^{T}
$$

Then the equation (31) may be written in the form $\frac{d \psi}{d t}=A(t) \psi, \quad \psi(0)=x$ as is well known from [5], the solution $\psi(t, x)$ of this equation is periodic of period $\omega$, if

$$
\int_{0}^{\omega} A(\xi) \psi(\xi, x) d \xi=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\psi(t, x) & =\{E+M(t)\} x,(E=\text { unit matrix })  \tag{32}\\ M(t) & =I A(t)+I^{(2)} A(t)+\cdots+I^{(n)} A(t)+\cdots \\ (I A)(t) & \equiv I^{(I)} A(t)=\int_{0}^{t} A(\xi) d \xi \\ \left(I^{(n)} A\right)(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} A(\xi)\left(I^{(n-1)} A\right)(\xi) d \xi, n>1\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the following theorem can be given in [5]:

Theorem 3.1. The equations $\frac{d \psi}{d t}=A(t) \psi$ possess a non-vanishing periodic solution of period $\omega$, if and only if $\operatorname{det}(M(\omega))=0$. In particular, in order that the equations $\frac{d \psi}{d t}=A(t) \psi$ possess $n$ linearly independent periodic solutions of period $\omega$, the necessary and sufficient condition is that $M(\omega)$ be a zero matrix.

Now, let us apply this theorem to the system (30). If $M(\omega)=0$, there exist the unit vector functions $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}$ of period $\omega$, such that each set of functions $\left\{t_{i}, n_{i}, b_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}\right\}, \quad(i=1,2,3,4)$ form a solution of the equation (30) corresponding to the initial conditions $\left(A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}\right)$. The curve $(C)$ can be described as follows

$$
\alpha(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \mathbf{T}(s) d s \quad \text { or } \quad \alpha(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{\varphi} \rho(\varphi) \mathbf{T}(\varphi) d \varphi
$$

Here, in order to find $\mathbf{T}$, we can use the equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
t_{i}  \tag{33}\\
n_{i} \\
b_{i} \\
\varepsilon_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\{E+M(\varphi)\}\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{i} \\
B_{i} \\
C_{i} \\
D_{i}
\end{array}\right], \quad(i=1,2,3,4),
$$

which is established by (29). If we take the initial conditions as $t_{i}(0)=A_{i}, n_{i}(0)=B_{i}$, $b_{i}(0)=C_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}=D_{i}, \quad(i=1,2,3,4)$ such that $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}\right),\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}\right),\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}\right),\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}\right)$ form an orthonormal frame, then from (30) we gain

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\left(1+m_{11}\right) A_{i}+m_{12} B_{i}+m_{13} C_{i}, m_{14} D_{i} ; \quad(i=1,2,3,4) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the spacelike curve $(C)$ is a constant breadth curve, which is also periodic of period $\omega$, it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho t_{i} d \varphi=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, form (34) and (35), we have

$$
A_{i} \int_{0}^{\omega} \rho\left(1+m_{11}\right) d \varphi+B_{i} \int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{12} d \varphi+C_{i} \int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{13} d \varphi+D_{i} \int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{14} d \varphi=0 ; \quad(i=1,2,3,4)
$$

Since the coefficient determinant $\Delta \neq 0$ in this system, we have the equalities as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho\left(1+m_{11}\right) d \varphi=0=\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{12} d \varphi=\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{13} d \varphi=\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{14} d \varphi, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are the conditions for a spacelike curve to be constant breadth curve in $E_{1}^{4}$. Here, we can take the period $\omega=2 \pi$ because of $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$. Thus we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let $(C)$ be a regular curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ such that $\rho(\varphi)>0, k_{2}(\varphi)$ and $k_{3}(\varphi)$ are continuous periodic functions of period $\omega$. Then $(C)$ is a constant breadth spacelike curve and also periodic of period $\omega$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\omega)=0, \quad \int_{0}^{\omega} \rho\left(1+m_{11}\right) d \varphi=0=\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{12} d \varphi=\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{13} d \varphi=\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho m_{14} d \varphi \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M(t)=I A(t)+I^{(2)} A(t)+\cdots+I^{(n)} A(t)+\cdots  \tag{38}\\
A(t)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\varepsilon_{1} & 0 & \rho k_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{2} \rho k_{2} & 0 & \rho k_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{1} \rho k_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $m_{i j}(t)$ are the entries of the matrix $M(t)$. From (32) and (38), the matrix $M(t)$ can be constructed and each $m_{i j}$ involves infinitely many integrations. Thus, we can give the conditions (37) in the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\omega \\
\int_{0}^{\omega} \rho(\varphi) d \varphi-\int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{s} \varepsilon_{1} \rho(\varphi) d s d t d \varphi+\int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{\phi} \int_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{s} \rho(\varphi) \varepsilon_{1}\left[\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \lambda(p) \lambda(s)\right] d t d s d r d p d \varphi-\cdots=0 \\
\int_{0} \\
\int_{0}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{s} \rho(\varphi) d t d \varphi-\int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{s} \rho(\varphi)\left[\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \lambda(t) \lambda(s)\right] d t d s d r d \varphi+\cdots=0 \\
& \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{r} \rho(\varphi) \lambda(t) d t d s d \varphi  \tag{39}\\
& -\int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{\phi} \int_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{s} \rho(\varphi)\left[\varepsilon_{1} \lambda(t)-\varepsilon_{2} \lambda(p)\left\{\lambda(t) \lambda(s)+\varepsilon_{1} \mu(t) \mu(s)\right\}\right] d t d s d r d p d \varphi+\cdots=0 \\
& \iint_{0} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{s} \rho(\varphi) \lambda(s) \mu(t) d t d s d r d \varphi \\
& \text { 0 } 0000 \\
& -\int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{q} \int_{0}^{\phi} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{s} \rho(\varphi) \lambda(p) \mu(t)\left[\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \lambda(t) \lambda(s)-\varepsilon_{1} \mu(t) \mu(s)\right] d t d s d p d \phi d \varphi d q+\ldots=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda(\xi)=\rho(\xi) k_{2}(\xi), \mu(\xi)=\rho(\xi) k_{3}(\xi)$.

Example 3.1. Let us consider the special case $\rho=$ const., $k_{2}=$ const. and $k_{3}=$ const. In this case, from (36), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\omega-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{\omega^{3}}{3!}+\varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{5}}{5!}-\varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\omega^{7}}{7!} \ldots=0  \tag{40}\\
\quad \frac{\omega^{2}}{2!}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{4}}{4!}+\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\omega^{6}}{6!}-\ldots=0 \\
k_{2}\left[\frac{\omega^{3}}{3!}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{5}}{5!}+\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\omega^{7}}{7!}-\ldots=0\right] \\
k_{2} k_{3}\left[\frac{\omega^{4}}{4!}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{6}}{6!}+\ldots=0\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega+\sin \left[\left(1-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega\right]=0  \tag{41}\\
\cos \left[\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega\right]=1 \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega=2 k \pi, \quad(k \in \mathrm{Z}) \\
k_{2}\left[\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega-\sin \left[\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega\right]\right]=0 \\
k_{2} k_{3}\left[\left[\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{2} \frac{\omega^{2}}{2}-\sin ^{2}\left[\left(\frac{\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho^{2} k_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \rho^{2} k_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega}{2}\right)\right]\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\omega=2 k \pi$ if $\varepsilon_{1}=1$ and $\omega=-2 k \pi$ if $\varepsilon_{1}=-1$. It is seen that all of the equalities (40) or (41) are satisfied simultaneously, if and only if $\rho k_{2}=0, \rho k_{3}=0$, that is, $\rho=$ const. $>0$ and $k_{2}, k_{3}=0$. Therefore, only ones with $\rho=$ const. $>0$ and $k_{2}, k_{3}=0$ of the curves with $\rho=$ const. $>0$ and $k_{2}, k_{3}=$ const. are curves of constant breadth, which are spacelike circles in $E_{1}^{4}$.

Now let us construct the relation characterizing these circles. Since $\rho k_{2}=0, \rho k_{3}=0$, system (7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}, m_{2}^{\prime}=-m_{1}, m_{3}^{\prime}=0, m_{4} \prime=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{1}=1, \varepsilon_{2}=\mp 1$ the general solution of (39) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}=A \cos \varphi+B \sin \varphi \\
& m_{2}=B \cos \varphi-A \sin \varphi  \tag{43}\\
& m_{3}=K \\
& m_{4}=L
\end{align*}
$$

Where $A, B, K, L$ are arbitrary constants.

Consequently, replacing (43) into (1), we gain the equation

$$
\alpha^{*}(\varphi)=\alpha(\varphi)+(A \cos \varphi+B \sin \varphi) \mathbf{T}+(-A \sin \varphi+B \cos \varphi) \mathbf{N}+K \mathbf{B}+L \mathbf{E},
$$

which gives the constant distance $d=\left\|\alpha^{*}-\alpha\right\|=\left(\left|A^{2}+B^{2} \pm K^{2} \mp L^{2}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this case, a pair of opposite points of the curve is $\left(\alpha^{*}(\varphi), \alpha(\varphi)\right)$ for $\varphi \operatorname{in} 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$.

Since $\rho k_{3}=0, \rho k_{2}=0$ system (7) becomes

$$
m_{1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{1} m_{2}, m_{2}^{\prime}=-m_{1}, m_{3}^{\prime}=0, m_{4} \prime=0
$$

If $\varepsilon_{1}=-1, \varepsilon_{2}=+1$ the general solution of (39) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}=A \cosh \varphi+B \sinh \varphi \\
& m_{2}=-B \cosh \varphi-A \sinh \varphi  \tag{44}\\
& m_{3}=K \\
& m_{4}=L
\end{align*}
$$

Where $A, B, E$ and $F$ are arbitrary constants.

Consequently, replacing (44) into (1), we obtain the equation

$$
\alpha^{*}(\varphi)=\alpha(\varphi)+(A \cosh \varphi+B \sinh \varphi) \mathbf{T}+(-A \sinh \varphi-B \cosh \varphi) \mathbf{N}+K \mathbf{B}+L \mathbf{E}
$$

which represents the Spacelike circles with the diameter $d=\left\|\alpha^{*}-\alpha\right\|=\left(\left|A^{2}-B^{2}+K^{2}+L^{2}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this case, a pair of opposite points of the curve is $\left(\alpha^{*}(\varphi), \alpha(\varphi)\right)$ for $\varphi \operatorname{in} 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$.

## 44. Conclusion

The characterizations and determinations of the special curves or curve pairs are important in the curve theory. A differential equation or a system of differential equations with respect to the curvatures can determinate the special curves or curve pairs. In this paper, the differential equations characterizing the constant breadth spacelike curves are studied in $E_{1}^{4}$. Moreover, a criterion for a spacelike space curve to be the curve of constant breadth in $E_{1}^{4}$ is given.
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