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#### Abstract

In this paper, an approximate method based on Bernoulli polynomials has been presented to obtain the solution of generalized pantograph equations with linear functional arguments. Both initial and boundary value problems have been solved by this collocation technique. Approximate solution can also be corrected with the residual function. Some numerical examples have been given to illustrate the reliability and efficiency of the method.
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## 1 Introduction

Pantograph equations are a kind of functional differential equations, the name of which is originated from the study on the collection of current by the pantograph head of an electric locomotive [1]. These equations play an important role in many areas such as economy, biology, astrophysics, control and electrodynamics [1-4]. Properties of the analytic solution of pantograph equations have been given by several authors [5-11]. A numerical solution of such equations with high-order has not been studied in detail. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the numerical solution of pantograph equations. Taylor methods have been used to find the approximate solutions of difference, differential-difference, integro-difference and pantograph equations [12-16]. High-order pantograph equations with initial conditions have been also studied by Taylor method [17], Adomian decomposition method [18], differential transform method [19] and homotopy perturbation method [20]. Besides, a subdivision approach for second-order functional differential equations with boundary conditions has been given in [21].

In this study, our purpose is to develop a method based on the Bernoulli polynomials to obtain the solution of the generalized pantograph equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(m)}(t)+\sum_{j=0}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_{j k}(t) y^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{j} t+\beta_{j}\right)=f(t), \quad a \leq t \leq b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_{i k} y^{(k)}(c)=\lambda_{i}, \quad c \in[a, b] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]or boundary conditions
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{i k} y^{(k)}(a)+b_{i k} y^{(k)}(b)=\mu_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here $p_{j k}(t)$ and $f(t)$ are known functions defined on the finite interval $[a, b], c_{i k}, a_{i k}, b_{i k}, \lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}, \alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are real or complex constants.

We assume that the solution is expressed by the Bernoulli series

$$
y(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_{n} B_{n}(t)
$$

where $B_{n}(t)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials defined as

$$
B_{n}(t)=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n}{r} B_{r} t^{n-r}, \quad B_{r}=B_{r}(0) \text { (Bernoulli numbers), }
$$

and $y_{n}$ are unknown coefficients.

## 2 Fundamental matrix relations

Firstly, we consider the desired solution $y(t)$ of Eq. (1) approximated by a truncated Bernoulli series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \cong y_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} y_{n} B_{n}(t) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $N$ is any positive integer such that $N \geq m$. Then we can put the finite series (4) in the matrix form as

$$
y(t) \cong B(t) \mathrm{Y}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}(t)=\left[B_{0}(t) B_{1}(t) \cdots B_{N}(t)\right]$,

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
y_{0} & y_{1} & \cdots & y_{N}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

Differentiating and using the following relation [22]

$$
B_{n}{ }^{\prime}(x)=n B_{n-1}(x),
$$

we obtain

$$
y^{\prime}(t) \cong B(t) \mathrm{AY}
$$

so that $B^{\prime}(t)=B(t) \mathrm{A}$ and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & N \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]_{(N+1) \mathbf{x}(N+1)}
$$

Similarly, the matrix form of the second derivative becomes

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \cong \mathrm{B}(t) \mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{Y}
$$

By continuing, we get the derivatives of the unknown function in the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(m)}(t) \cong B(t) \mathrm{A}^{m} Y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{j} t+\beta_{j}\right) \cong B\left(\alpha_{j} t+\beta_{j}\right) \mathrm{A}^{k} Y, k=0,1,2, \ldots, m-1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Method of solution

For constructing the main matrix equation, we first substitute the matrix relations (5) and (6) into Eq. (1). Then, by using the collocation points $t_{s}(s=0,1, \ldots, S)$, we obtain the system of the matrix equations as

$$
B\left(t_{s}\right) \mathrm{A}^{m} Y+\sum_{j=0}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_{j k}\left(t_{s}\right) B\left(\alpha_{j} t_{s}+\beta_{j}\right) \mathrm{A}^{k} Y=f\left(t_{s}\right) .
$$

Thus, the main matrix equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathrm{BA}^{m}+\sum_{j=0}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathrm{P}_{j k} \mathrm{~B}_{j} \mathrm{~A}^{k}\right\} Y=F \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{j k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{j k}\left(t_{0}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & p_{j k}\left(t_{1}\right) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & p_{j_{k}}\left(t_{S}\right)
\end{array}\right], F=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f\left(t_{0}\right) \\
f\left(t_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f\left(t_{S}\right)
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{B}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{0}\left(t_{0}\right) & B_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) & \cdots & B_{N}\left(t_{0}\right) \\
B_{0}\left(t_{1}\right) & B_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) & \cdots & B_{N}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
B_{0}\left(t_{S}\right) & B_{1}\left(t_{S}\right) & \cdots & B_{N}\left(t_{S}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathrm{B}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
B\left(\alpha_{j} t_{0}+\beta_{j}\right) \\
B\left(\alpha_{j} t_{1}+\beta_{j}\right) \\
\vdots \\
B\left(\alpha_{j} t_{S}+\beta_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{0}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{0}+\beta_{j}\right) & B_{1}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{0}+\beta_{j}\right) & \cdots & B_{N}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{0}+\beta_{j}\right) \\
B_{0}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{1}+\beta_{j}\right) & B_{1}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{1}+\beta_{j}\right) & \cdots & B_{N}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{1}+\beta_{j}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
B_{0}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{S}+\beta_{j}\right) & B_{1}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{S}+\beta_{j}\right) & \cdots & B_{N}\left(\alpha_{j} t_{S}+\beta_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the fundamental matrix equation (7) for Eq. (1) can be written in the compact form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{WY}=\mathrm{For}[\mathrm{~W} ; \mathrm{F}] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to a system of $S+1$ linear algebraic equations with the unknown Bernoulli coefficients $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}$. To determine the coefficients uniquely, $m$ equations are needed. These equations are obtained from the conditions as follows:

By means of the relation (6), matrix forms of the conditions (2) and (3) can be written, respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_{i k} B(c) \mathrm{A}^{k} Y=\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{i k} B(a) A^{k} Y+b_{i k} B(b) A^{k} Y=\mu_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

or compactly,

$$
U_{i} Y=\eta_{i}, \quad\left[U_{i} ; \eta_{i}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
u_{i, 0} & u_{i, 1} & u_{i, 2} & \ldots & u_{i, N} ; \tag{9}
\end{array} \eta_{i}\right]
$$

where $\eta_{i}$ is the right side any of the conditions (2) or (3) to be used.

To obtain the solution of Eq. (1) under the given conditions, we combine the matrices (8) and (9). Adding the elements of the row matrices (9) to the end of the matrix (8), we have the new augmented matrix $[\tilde{W} ; \tilde{F}]$. Alternatively, we can replace $m$ rows of the augmented matrix (8) with the row matrices (9), and denote the new augmented matrix by $\left[W^{*}, F^{*}\right]$. These linear systems can be solved by the standard methods such as matrix inversion, Gauss elimination and LU decomposition. Thus, the matrix $\mathbf{Y}$, which gives the coefficients of the Bernoulli polynomial solution (4), is determined. If the solution of the problem is a polynomial of degree at most $N$, then the method determines its coefficients. Otherwise, an approximate polynomial solution is obtained.

If the number of the collocation points equals to $N-m+1$, say $S=N-m$, we obtain a squared system with dimension $N+1$ by adding the entries belong to the conditions. However, if $S=N$, this system becomes rectangular. In this case, in order to form a linear system of $N+1$ equation with $N+1$ unknown, we replace some rows instead of adding. Note that the location of the rows to be deleted affects the numerical results.

## 4 Accuracy of solution and error estimation with residual correction

We can easily check the accuracy of the method as follows. As the truncated Bernoulli series (4) is an approximate solution of Eq. (1), the function $y_{N}(t)$ must satisfy the pantograph equation approximately; that is, the remainder term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}(t)=y_{N}^{(m)}(t)+\sum_{j=0}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_{j k}(t) y_{N}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{j} t+\beta_{j}\right)-f(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerical results at the collocation points are affected only by round-off errors that we consider as negligible. Thus, the remainder term must be zero at the collocation points $t_{s}$, that is $R_{N}\left(t_{s}\right)=0$, since $y^{(k)}\left(t_{s}\right)=y_{N}^{(k)}\left(t_{s}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, m$.

When $N$ is sufficiently large enough, the error decreases. If $d-1$ exact decimal digits are required for the solution, then the truncation limit $N$ is increased until

$$
\max _{n}\left|R_{N}\left(t_{n}\right)\right| \leq 10^{-d}, t_{n} \in[a, b],
$$

where $t_{n}$ are any points different from the collocation points.

If the exact solution is known, then the error function is the difference between the approximate and the exact solutions defined by

$$
e_{N}(t)=y(t)-y_{N}(t)
$$

otherwise it can be estimated by following. Here we analyze the error function of $y_{N}(t)$ and show that it can be obtained using the residual function of the operator equation,

$$
L[y(t)]=f(t), \quad L[y(t)]=y^{(m)}(t)+\sum_{j=0}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_{j k}(t) y^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{j} t+\beta_{j}\right) .
$$

We know the residual function $R_{N}(t)$

$$
L\left[y_{N}(t)\right]-f(t)=R_{N}(t), \quad a \leq t \leq b .
$$

Since $L$ is a linear operator, the error function $e_{N}(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$
L\left[e_{N}(t)\right]=-R_{N}(t), \quad a \leq t \leq b
$$

with homogenous initial conditions

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_{i k} e_{N}{ }^{(k)}(c)=0, \quad c \in[a, b]
$$

or homogenous boundary conditions

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{i k} e_{N}{ }^{(k)}(a)+b_{i k} e_{N}{ }^{(k)}(b)=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

Solving the new equation with homogenous conditions, we approximate the error function. Denoting this by $e_{N, M}(t)$, $y_{N}(t)$ can be improved. So, we have a new approximation $y_{N, M}(t)$ given by

$$
y_{N, M}(t)=y_{N}(t)+e_{N, M}(t) .
$$

Consequently, we construct a new error function

$$
E_{N, M}(t)=e_{N}(t)-e_{N, M}(t)=y(t)-y_{N, M}(t)
$$

where $e_{N, M}(t)$ is the estimated error function and $E_{N, M}(t)$ is the corrected error function.
The residual correction method is similar to the deferred correction method [23]. We could repeat the procedure:
$i$. form the residual function,
ii. solve the error equation,
iii. form a new corrected approximation.

Note that, if the error equation are solved again by Bernoulli collocation method, the new truncation limit $M$ must be chosen greater than $N$. If we choose $M=N$, the collocation points are taken different from the previous one. Otherwise, we
obtain trivial solution because of $R_{N}\left(t_{s}\right)=0$ and homogeneous conditions, and therefore we can not have any estimation. For example, at the first approximation, taking the collocation points $t_{s}$ defined by

$$
t_{s}=a+s(b-a) /(N-m) \quad s=0,1, \ldots, N-m,
$$

and then choosing the new collocation points by

$$
t_{s}=a+s(b-a) / M, \quad s=0,1, \ldots, M,
$$

we obtain the improved results. However, dimensions of the linear algebraic system are different although the truncation limits are the same. Dimension of the augmented matrix $\tilde{W}$ is $(N+1) \mathbf{x}(N+1)$ at the first approach whereas $(N+m+1) \mathbf{x}(N+1)$ at the second approach.

## 5 Numerical examples

The presented method is useful in finding the numerical solution of generalized pantograph equations in terms of Bernoulli polynomials. We illustrate the numerical solution with the following six examples. All the numerical computations have been done using a program written in Mathcad 15. The results obtained by the presented method are compared with the known results. The accuracy of solutions and the error analysis are performed as well.

Example 1. Let us first consider the pantograph equation of the second order

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{3}{4} y(t)+y\left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)-t^{2}+2, \quad y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

with the exact solution $y(t)=t^{2}$. Here $m=2, p_{00}(t)=\frac{-3}{4}, p_{10}(t)=-1, \alpha_{0}=1, \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \beta_{0}=\beta_{1}=0, f(t)=-t^{2}+2$. Following the procedure in Section 3, the fundamental matrix equation of the problem is

$$
W Y=\left\{\mathrm{BA}^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~B}_{0}-\mathrm{B}_{1}\right\} Y=F .
$$

Taking $N=2$ and using the collocation points $t_{0}=0, t_{1}=1 / 2, t_{2}=1$, we have the augmented matrix

$$
[W, F]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-7 / 4 & 7 / 8 & 41 / 24 ; & 2 \\
-7 / 4 & 1 / 4 & 25 / 12 ; 7 / 4 \\
-7 / 4 & -3 / 8 & 47 / 24 ; & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the matrix forms of the initial conditions are

$$
\left[U_{1} ; \lambda_{1}\right]=\left[1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} ; 0\right], \quad\left[U_{2} ; \lambda_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & -1 ; 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We can combine the matrix form of the pantograph equation with matrix form of the conditions in different ways. One is to replace the last two rows of $[W, F]$ with $\left[U_{i} ; \lambda_{i}\right]$. Solving this system $\left[W^{*}, F^{*}\right]$ or the other system $[\tilde{W}, \tilde{F}]$, Bernoulli coefficients matrix is obtained as

$$
Y=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 / 3 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{T} .
$$

Thereby, we find the exact solution.

Average relative errors by the wavelet method [24] for $N=15$ and $N=255$ at the points $t_{s}=s / 16, s=1,2, \ldots, 16$ are
4.92E-4 and 1.96E-6, respectively. However, our average relative errors for all $N$ (solved until 100) at the same points are zero with the zero tolerance 50 . Although the exact solution is obtained for $N=4$ by Taylor method [17], it is found for $N=2$ by the presented method.

Example 2. Consider the linear delay differential equation of the first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)+y(t)+y(0.8 t)=0, y(0)=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of the Eq. (7), the fundamental matrix equation of the problem is

$$
\left\{B A+B+B_{1}\right\} Y=0
$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ is a matrix for $\alpha_{1}=0.8, \beta_{1}=0$. Following the procedure in Section 3, we find the solution of the problem for different $N$. The obtained results, using the collocation points $t_{s}=s / N-1(s=0,1, \ldots, N-1)$, are given in Table 1 . The previous results of (11) by Walsh series approach [25], by Laguerre series approach [26], by delayed unit step function series approach [27], and by Taylor series approach [17] are also given in Table 2 for comparison. The Bernoulli method seems more rapidly convergent than the other methods. Although accuracy is obtained at six decimal places for $N=7$ by the presented method, the same accuracy is obtained for $N=11$ by Taylor method and for $N=29$ by Laguerre method. Besides, Walsh and DUSF series method can not reach the same accuracy even for $N=99$. Accuracy of the solution for $N=19$ shown in Table 1 is better than the Taylor method shown in Table 2, as well.

Table 1. Bernoulli series solutions of Eq. (11) and accuracy of these solutions.

| Bernoulli Series Solution $y_{N}(t)$ |  |  | Accuracy of Solutions $\left\|R_{N}(t)\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | $\mathrm{~N}=11$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=20$ | $\mathrm{~N}=7$ | $\mathrm{~N}=11$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ |  |
| 0 | 1.000000 | 1.0000000000 | 1.000000000000000 | 1.000000000000000 | 0.00 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.00 |
| 0.2 | 0.664691 | 0.6646910008 | 0.664691000828908 | 0.664691000828912 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.00 |
| 0.4 | 0.433561 | 0.4335607788 | 0.433560778776339 | 0.433560778776341 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.00 |  |
| 0.6 | 0.276482 | 0.2764823302 | 0.276482330222267 | 0.276482330222268 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-16$ |  |
| 0.8 | 0.171484 | 0.1714841120 | 0.171484111976061 | 0.171484111976062 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $5.55 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.102670 | 0.1026701266 | 0.102670126574419 | 0.102670126574413 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-16$ |

Table 2. Comparison of the solutions of Eq. (11).

|  | Walsh Series | DUSF Series | Laguerre Series |  |  | Taylor Series Solutions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | $\mathrm{~N}=99$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{~N}=29$ | $\mathrm{~N}=8$ | $\mathrm{~N}=11$ | $\mathrm{~N}=19$ | $\mathrm{R}_{19}(t)$ |
| 0 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.999971 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000000000000 | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 0.2 | 0.665621 | 0.664677 | 0.664703 | 0.664691 | 0.664691 | 0.664691 | 0.664691000828909 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 0.4 | 0.432426 | 0.433540 | 0.433555 | 0.433561 | 0.433561 | 0.433561 | 0.433560778776339 | $3.22 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 0.6 | 0.275140 | 0.276460 | 0.276471 | 0.276482 | 0.276483 | 0.276482 | 0.276482330222267 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 0.8 | 0.170320 | 0.171464 | 0.171482 | 0.171484 | 0.171494 | 0.171484 | 0.171484111976062 | $7.38 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 1 | 0.100856 | 0.102652 | 0.102679 | 0.102670 | 0.102744 | 0.102670 | 0.102670126574418 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-14$ |

Example 3. Consider the following multi pantograph equation with variable coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2} e^{t / 2} y\left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)+\frac{1}{2} y(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the exact solution $y(t)=e^{t}$. The fundamental matrix equation of the problem is

$$
\left\{\mathrm{BA}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}+P_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)\right\} Y=0
$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ is a matrix for $\alpha_{1}=0.5, \beta_{1}=0$ and $P_{1}$ is a diagonal matrix for $p_{1}(t)=e^{t / 2}$ defined in Eq. (7).

Table 3. Average relative errors of Eq. (12).

| $N=2$ | $N=3$ | $N=4$ | $N=5$ | $N=6$ | $N=7$ | $N=8$ | $N=9$ | $N=10$ | $N=11$ | $N=12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4.37 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $9.86 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $6.97 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $8.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-16$ |

Table 4. Comparison of absolute errors $\left|e_{N}(t)\right|$ for Example 3.

| $t$ | $N=3$ | $N=4$ | $N=5$ | $N=6$ | $N=7$ | $N=8$ | $N=9$ | $N=10$ | $N=11$ | $N=12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.2 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.48 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.22 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.85 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $9.33 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0 |
| 0.4 | $4.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.27 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0 |
| 0.6 | $5.36 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.08 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.34 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.33 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.34 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0 |
| 0.8 | $4.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $6.41 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $6.61 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0 |
| 1 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.75 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0 |

Table 5. Comparison of corrected errors $E_{7, M}(t)$ with residual corrections.

| $t$ | $R_{7}(t)$ | $e_{7}(t)$ | $M=7$ | $M=8$ | $M=9$ | $M=10$ | $M=11$ | $M=12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $8.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-2.50 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $7.73 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $-2.33 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $6.88 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0 |
| 0.4 | $-1.41 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $8.59 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-3.36 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $-2.98 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $8.22 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0 |
| 0.6 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $-3.48 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $-3.76 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0 |
| 0.8 | $-2.12 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $-4.60 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $-4.68 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $9.22 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-7.95 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $-7.77 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $9.33 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0 |

The average relative errors of solution obtained by the presented method at the collocation points are given in Table 3 for different $N$. The errors of the wavelet method [24] for $N=15$ and $N=255$ are respectively 4.37E-4 and 2.05E-5. The average relative error of the variational iteration method [28] is also found $4.92 \mathrm{E}-3$ at the second iteration. Besides, Taylor methods [16] and [17] have respectively the errors $1.73 \mathrm{E}-8,2.11 \mathrm{E}-8$ for $N=9$. It is obvious that the presented method has better results than wavelet, variational iteration and Taylor methods. However, average relative errors of Adomian method [18] and homotopy perturbation method [20] are also $5.33 \mathrm{E}-16$ and $2.78 \mathrm{E}-16$ for $N=12$, respectively. These results are similar to our error, yet these are higher than the presented method. For $N=11$, collocation points in our method are $t_{s}=s / 10, s=0,1, \ldots, 10$, that is, step size $h=0.1$. The average relative errors of spline methods [29], [30] and [31] for $h=0.01$ are $4.45 \mathrm{E}-6,2 \mathrm{E}-10,6.87 \mathrm{E}-11$ respectively. In addition, although the absolute errors in the exponential approximation method [32] for $N=3, N=5$ and $N=10$ are around $10^{-2}, 10^{-3}$ and $10^{-5}$, respectively, they are around $10^{-3}$, $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-13}$ in our method. Table 3 and Table 4 show that presented method has better results than the other methods.

Residual functions must be equal to zero at the collocation points, i.e. $R_{N}\left(t_{s}\right)=0, t_{s}=s /(N-1), s=0,1, \ldots, N-1$, but some of these are computed accuracy of 16 digits in Mathcad. To get rid of this error caused by computer, we took the zero tolerance 15 in Table 4 and Table 5. We observe from Table 4 that 15 digits accuracy are obtained at $N=12$. The errors are corrected with the residual function as in Section 4. In Table 5, the new collocation points are chosen by $t_{k}=k / M, k=0,1, \ldots, M$ and $N$ is taken seven at the first approach. Table 5 shows that corrected errors are better than the previous results, yet 15 digit accuracy are obtained $M=15$. For this reason, we do not need to have any correction, we
already have good approximation.

Example 4. Consider the boundary value problem,

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=y(|t|), \quad y(0)=1 \operatorname{and} y(1)=e
$$

has a unique solution $y(t)=e^{t}$. In Table 6, the absolute errors obtained by the present method are compared with the errors for $h=1 / 10^{n}, \quad n=1,2,3$ given by collocation method in [21]. Collocation points for $N=12$ are $t_{s}=s / 10, s=0,1, \ldots, 10$. in presented method, that is mesh size is $h=0.1$. Compared the first and the last columns of the Table 6, it seems that Bernoulli collocation method has much better result than the other collocation method. Here zero tolerance is fifty. From numerical computations, presented method converges quite fast.

Table 6. Comparison of absolute errors of Example 4.

|  | Subdivision Approach |  |  |  |  | Present method |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | $\mathrm{h}=0.1$ | $\mathrm{~h}=0.01$ | $\mathrm{~h}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{~N}=5$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ | $\mathrm{~N}=9$ | $\mathrm{~N}=10$ | $\mathrm{~N}=11$ |  |
| 0.1 | $6.079 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $8.785 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.752 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $9.921 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $3.418 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.467 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $3.428 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.044 \mathrm{E}-14$ |  |
| 0.2 | $1.086 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.609 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $4.511 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.296 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.887 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $9.938 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.628 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $8.438 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.220 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 0.3 | $1.562 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.177 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $9.976 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $9.854 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $6.311 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $1.067 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.315 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $8.660 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.000 |
| 0.4 | $1.914 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.571 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.455 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.088 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $5.701 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $1.115 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.818 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $8.216 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.000 |
| 0.5 | $2.070 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.772 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.777 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $3.081 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.356 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $1.022 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.554 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $8.882 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.000 |
| 0.6 | $1.999 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.760 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.071 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.670 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $9.104 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $1.142 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $7.949 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $8.438 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.220 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 0.7 | $1.690 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.508 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.008 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.111 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.179 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.117 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.648 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $9.770 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $4.441 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 0.8 | $1.205 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.988 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.782 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.473 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.571 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.068 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.945 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $9.326 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $4.441 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 0.9 | $7.159 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.162 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $9.839 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.139 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $4.041 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.602 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $3.828 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.155 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.000 |

Example 5. Consider the pantograph equation of the third order

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-t y^{\prime \prime}(2 t)+y^{\prime}(t)+y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=t \cos (2 t)+\cos \left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the following two cases:
i. initial conditions; $y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0, y^{\prime \prime}(0)=-1$,
ii. boundary conditions; $y(0)=1, y(\pi)=-1, y^{\prime}(\pi)=0$.

The exact solution of the problem with conditions is $y(t)=\cos t$, and the main matrix equation of (13) is

$$
\left\{B A^{3}+P_{02} B_{0} A^{2}+B A+B_{2}\right\} Y=F
$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ are the matrices corresponding to $\alpha_{0}=2, \beta_{0}=0$ and $\alpha_{2}=0.5, \beta_{2}=0$, respectively, $P_{02}$ is a matrix defined in Eq.(7) for $p_{02}(t)=-t$.

The approximate solutions obtained by using the collocation points $t_{s}=s \pi /(N-m)$ in $[0, \pi]$ for $N=4, N=5, N=6, N=7$ are compared with the exact solution in Figure 1 and Figure 2. Absolute errors in $[0, \pi]$ for $N=8, N=9, N=10, N=11$, $N=12$ are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, as well. From the Figures, it is obvious that the results get better as increase $N$. Besides, absolute errors of the problem with the initial conditions at the selected points in $[0,1]$ are tabulated in Table 7. Obtained results are good.


Figure 1. Comparison the solutions of Eq. (13) with initial conditions.


Figure 2. Comparison the solutions of Eq. (13) with boundary conditions.


Figure 3. Error analysis of Eq. 13 with initial conditions.


Figure 4. Error analysis of Eq. 13 with boundary conditions.

Table 7. Absolute errors $\left|e_{N}(t)\right|$ for Example 5.

| t | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | $\mathrm{~N}=13$ | $\mathrm{~N}=14$ | $\mathrm{~N}=15$ | $\mathrm{~N}=16$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | $2.220 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.332 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.331 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $2.220 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $1.110 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 0.4 | $1.845 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $2.653 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $5.773 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.331 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.000 |
| 0.6 | $9.717 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $7.372 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.624 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.099 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $7.772 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 0.8 | $8.851 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $6.486 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.432 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $9.592 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $7.438 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 1 | $4.041 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.943 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $6.495 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $4.354 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $3.375 \mathrm{E}-14$ |

Example 6. Finally, let us consider the pantograph equation of the third order

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+y(t)+y(t-0.3)=e^{-t-0.3}, \quad y(0)=1, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=-1, \quad y^{\prime \prime}(0)=1
$$

The exact solution is $y=e^{-t}$. Maximum absolute errors and mean errors are tabulated in Table 8, by applying the presented method for different collocation points and truncation limit. Also, the last three rows replaced with the entries of the conditions in the system $\left[\mathbf{W}^{*}, \mathbf{F}^{*}\right]$. The numerical results of the trigonometric points are better than the others. In addition to this, the results are improved by the residual correction in Section 4. For example, let the collocation points be $t_{k}=k /(N-3)$ at the first approximation, its maximum errors can be seen in the first column of the Table 8 . After calculated the residual function for $N=5$, the new system $[\tilde{W}, \tilde{F}]$ for the error function with homogenous conditions can be formed using the new collocation points $t_{j}=j / M(j=0,1, \ldots, M)$. Solving this system, estimated error function $e_{5, M}$ are obtained. In Table 9, maximum absolute errors of the corrected function, that is max $\left|E_{5, M}\right|$, are given. It can be seen these results are better than the results in Table 8 . For $N=8$, maximum error is nearly $10^{-8}$ by the presented method, whereas $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$ by Taylor [17] and Hermite [33] methods, respectively. Furthermore, maximum error for $N=26$ is about $10^{-8}$ in Jacobi method [34].

Table 8. Comparison of the maximum and mean errors for Example 6.

|  | $t_{k}=\frac{k}{N-3}, k=0,1, \ldots, N-3$ |  | $t_{i}=\frac{i}{N}, i=0,1, \ldots, N$ |  | $t_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\cos \frac{(N-i) \pi}{N}\right]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | max | mean | max | mean | $\max$ | mean |
| 3 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 3 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 4 | $7.77 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $5.26 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.21 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 5 | $4.63 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $5.71 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 6 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $7.31 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| 7 | $9.88 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $6.52 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $9.90 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $9.29 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 8 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| 9 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $5.22 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $8.19 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| 10 | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.32 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| 11 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $5.11 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $5.19 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| 12 | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9. Comparison of the maximum absolute errors with the residual correction.

| $M=6$ | $M=7$ | $M=8$ | $M=9$ | $M=10$ | $M=11$ | $M=12$ | $M=13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.01 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $4.72 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-8$ | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $6.22 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0 |

## 6 Conclusions

A collocation method based on the truncated Bernoulli series is developed to numerically solve higher order pantograph equations with initial or boundary conditions. This method is applied to any finite interval. It is obvious that $N^{\text {th }}$ order Bernoulli series approximation gives the exact solution when the solution is polynomial of degree less than or equal to $N$. However, more terms of the Bernoulli series are required for accurate calculation for large $t$, if the solution is not polynomial. Bernoulli approximation converges to the exact solution as $N$ increases, but the truncation limit $N$ must be chosen large enough. Besides, the residual error estimation is given for the method. If the exact solution of the problem is unknown, residual function can be used to estimate the error. The corrected approximate solution can also be computed by summing the estimated error function and approximate solution obtained by the Bernoulli collocation method.

Bernoulli collocation method provides two main advantages: it is very simple to construct the main matrix equation and it is very easy for computer programming. Another considerable advantage is that computational time of the method is too short. Besides, our method produces much better results than the other methods in the examples.
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