

On sum of monotone operator of type (FPV) and a maximal monotone operator

D. K. Pradhan and S. R. Pattanaik

Mathematics Department, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, India

Received: 21 April 2016, Accepted: 14 August 2016

Published online: 12 November 2016.

Abstract: In the setting of a general real Banach space, we prove that the sum of a monotone operator A of type (FPV) and a maximal monotone operator B is maximal with $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$ and either $\text{dom}B$ is open or for any $x \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$, $\|x^*\| \leq |B(x)|$, $x^* \in A(x)$.

Keywords: Sum problem, Fitzpatrick function, maximal monotone operator, monotone operator of type (FPV).

1 Introduction

In monotone operator theory, the most studied and celebrated open problem concerns the maximal monotonicity of the sum of two maximal monotone operators. In 1970, Rockafellar proved it in reflexive space, i.e., the sum of two maximal monotone operators A and B with $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$ (Rockafellar's constraint qualification) is maximal monotone [10]. Therefore, it remains to study the sum theorem in nonreflexive spaces.

In [3], Borwein proves that the sum of two maximal monotone operators A and B is maximal monotone with $\text{int dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$. In [2], Bauschke, Wang and Yao prove that the sum of maximal monotone linear relation and the subdifferential operator of a sublinear function with Rockafellar's constraint qualification is maximal monotone. In [15], Yao extend the results in [2] to the subdifferential operator of any proper lower semicontinuous convex function. Yao [16] proves that the sum of two maximal monotone operators A and B satisfying the conditions $A + N_{\text{dom}B}$ is of type (FPV) and $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$ is maximal.

In [4], Borwein and Yao prove the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear relation and a maximal monotone with the assumptions that $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$. By relaxing the linearity from the result of [4], Borwein and Yao [6] prove the maximal monotonicity of $A + B$ provided that A and B are maximal monotone operators, $\text{star}(\text{dom}A) \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$ and A is of type (FPV). Also in [6] raises a question for further research on relaxing 'starshaped' hypothesis on $\text{dom}A$.

In this paper we will prove that the sum of a monotone operator A of type (FPV) and a maximal monotone operator B is maximal with the assumption that $\text{dom}B$ is open or for any $x \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$, $\|x^*\| \leq |B(x)|$, where $x^* \in A(x)$. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some auxiliary results and notions which will be used in our main results. In section 3, main results are presented.

2 Basic notations and auxiliary results

Suppose that X is a real Banach space with norm, $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mathbb{U}_X := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| < 1\}$ be the open unit ball in X . X^* is the continuous dual of X and X and X^* are paired by $\langle x, x^* \rangle = x^*(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. A sequence $x_n^* \in X^*$ is said to be *weak** convergence if there is some $x^* \in X^*$ such that $x_n^*(x) \rightarrow x^*(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and we denote it by \rightarrow_{w^*} . For a given subset C of X we denote interior of C as $\text{int}C$, closure of C as \bar{C} and boundary of C as $\text{bdry} C$. $\text{conv}C$, $\text{aff}C$ is the convex and affine hull of C . The *intrinsic core* or *relative algebraic interior* of C is denoted by ${}^i C$ [17] and is defined as ${}^i C := \{a \in C \mid \forall x \in \text{aff}(C - C), \exists \delta > 0, \forall \lambda \in [0, \delta] : a + \lambda x \in C\}$. And

$${}^i C := \begin{cases} {}^i C, & \text{if } \text{aff } C \text{ is closed,} \\ \phi, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

For $0 \in \text{Core}C$ iff $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda C = X$. Also we denote the distance function by $\text{dist}(x, C) := \inf_{c \in C} \|x - c\|$ and $|C| = \inf_{c \in C} \|c\|$. For any $C, D \subseteq X$, $C - D = \{x - y \mid x \in C, y \in D\}$. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a set-valued operator (also known as multifunction or point-to-set mapping) from X to X^* , i.e., for every $x \in X$, $Ax \subseteq X^*$. Domain of A is denoted as $\text{dom}A := \{x \in X \mid Ax \neq \phi\}$ and range of A is $\text{ran}A = \{x^* \in X^* \mid x^* \in Ax \text{ for some } x \in \text{dom}A\}$. Graph of A is denoted as $\text{gra}A = \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid x^* \in Ax\}$. A is said to be linear relation if $\text{gra}A$ is a linear subspace. The set-valued mapping $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is said to be monotone if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in \text{gra}A.$$

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone and $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ we say that (x, x^*) is monotonically related to $\text{gra}A$ if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in \text{gra}A.$$

And a set valued mapping A is said to maximal monotone if A is monotone and A has no proper monotone extension (in the sense of graph inclusion). In other words A is maximal monotone if for any $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ is monotonically related to $\text{gra}A$ then $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra}A$. We say that A is of type (FPV) if for every open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \cap \text{dom}A \neq \phi$, $x \in U$ and (x, x^*) is monotonically related to $\text{gra}A \cap U \times X^*$, then $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra}A$. Every monotone operators of type (FPV) are maximal monotone operators [13].

Let $f : X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a function and its domain is defined as $\text{dom}f := f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. f is said to be proper if $\text{dom}f \neq \phi$. Let f be any proper convex function then the subdifferential operator of f is defined as $\partial f : X \rightrightarrows X^* : x \mapsto \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle y - x, x^* \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y), \forall y \in X\}$. Subdifferential operators are of type (FPV)[13]. For every $x \in X$, the normal cone operator at x is defined by $N_C(x) = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \sup_{c \in C} \langle c - x, x^* \rangle \leq 0\}$, if $x \in C$; and $N_C(x) = \phi$, if $x \notin C$. Also it may be verified that the normal cone operator is of type (FPV) [13]. For $x, y \in X$, we denote $[x, y] := \{tx + (1 - t)y \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and star or center of C as $\text{star}C := \{x \in C \mid [x, c] \subseteq C, \forall c \in C\}$ [17].

We denote the projection map by $P_X : X \times X^* \rightarrow X$ by $P_X(x, x^*) = x$. For any two A and B monotone operators, the sum operator is defined as $A + B : X \rightrightarrows X^* : x \mapsto Ax + Bx = \{a^* + b^* \mid a^* \in Ax \text{ and } b^* \in Bx\}$. It may be checked that $A + B$ is monotone.

Fact 1. [8, Theorem 2.28] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone with $\text{int} \text{dom}A \neq \phi$. Then A is locally bounded at $x \in \text{int} \text{dom}A$, i.e., there exist $\delta > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y^* \in Ay} \|y^*\| \leq K, \quad \forall y \in (x + \delta \mathbb{U}_X) \cap \text{dom}A.$$

Fact 2. [Fitzpatrick] [7, Corollary 3.9] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone, and $F_A : X \times X^* \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ defined by

$$F_A(x, x^*) = \sup_{(a, a^*) \in \text{gra}A} (\langle x, a^* \rangle + \langle a, x^* \rangle - \langle a, a^* \rangle),$$

which is the Fitzpatrick function associated with A. Then for every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$, the inequality $\langle x, x^* \rangle \leq F_A(x, x^*)$ is true, and equality holds if and only if $(x, x^*) \in \text{gra}A$.

Fact 3. [14, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 5.6], or [13, Theorem 24.1(b)] Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone operator. Assume $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [P_X(\text{dom}F_A) - P_X(\text{dom}F_B)]$ is a closed subspace. If $F_{A+B} \geq \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $X \times X^*$, then $A + B$ is maximal monotone.

Fact 4. [17, Theorem 1.1.2(ii)] Let C be a convex subset of X . If $a \in \text{int}C$ and $x \in \overline{C}$, then $[a, x] \subset \text{int}C$.

Fact 5. [Rockafellar][9, Theorem 1] or [13, Theorem 27.1 and Theorem 27.3] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone with $\text{int dom}A \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{int dom}A = \overline{\text{int dom}A}$; and $\text{int dom}A$ and $\overline{\text{dom}A}$ is convex.

Fact 6. [6, Proposition 3.1] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV), and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Suppose that $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ with $z \in \overline{\text{dom}B}$. Then $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

Fact 7. [1, Lemma 2.5] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of X such that $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$. Let $c_0 \in \text{int}C$ and suppose that $z \in X \setminus C$. Then there exists $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ such that $\lambda c_0 + (1 - \lambda)z \in \text{bdry } C$.

Fact 8. [13, Theorem 44.2] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV). Then

$$\overline{\text{dom}A} = \overline{\text{conv}(\text{dom}A)} = \overline{P_X(\text{dom}F_A)}.$$

Fact 9. [6, Lemma 2.10] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone, and Let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$. Suppose $x_0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$ and that there exists a sequence $(a_n, a_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra}A \cap (\text{dom}B \times X^*)$ such that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point in $[x_0, z[$, while $\langle z - a_n, a_n^* \rangle \rightarrow \infty$. Then $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) = +\infty$.

Fact 10. [6, Lemma 2.12] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV). Suppose $x_0 \in \text{dom}A$ but that $z \notin \overline{\text{dom}A}$. Then there exists a sequence $(a_n, a_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra}A$ so that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point in $[x_0, z[$ and $\langle z - a_n, a_n^* \rangle \rightarrow +\infty$.

Fact 11. [The Banach-Alaoglu Theorem][11, Theorem 3.15] The closed unit ball in X^* , B_X^* is weak star compact.

Fact 12. [16] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone and $z \in \overline{\text{dom}A} \setminus \text{dom}A$. Then for every sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{dom}A$ such that $z_n \rightarrow z$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \|A(z_n)\| = +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a sequence $z_{n_k}^* \in A(z_{n_k})$ and $L > 0$ such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|z_{n_k}^*\| \leq L$. By Fact 2, there exists a weak* convergent subnet, $(z_\beta^*)_{\beta \in J}$ of $z_{n_k}^*$ such that $z_\beta^* \xrightarrow{w^*} z_\infty^* \in X^*$. By [5, Fact 3.5], we have $(z, z_\infty^*) \in \text{gra}A$, which is a contradiction to our assumption that $z \notin \text{dom}A$.

Fact 13. [6, Lemma 2.11] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV), and Let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$. Suppose $x_0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$. Assume that there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{dom}A \cap \text{dom}B$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $a_n \rightarrow \beta z + (1 - \beta)x_0$ and $a_n \in \text{bdry dom}B$ Then $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) = +\infty$.

Fact 14. [16, Proposition 3.1] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV), and Let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$. Suppose $x_0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$. Assume that there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{dom}A \cap [\overline{\text{dom}B} \setminus \text{dom}B]$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ such that $a_n \rightarrow \beta z + (1 - \beta)x_0$ Then $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

3 Our main results

We first prove the useful results which play an important role to prove our main results.

Lemma 1. Let A be any subset of X , $0 \in \text{int}\bar{A} = \text{int}A$ and \bar{A} is convex. Then $x \in \bar{A}$ if and only if $x \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)A$ for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Proof. First we show that $A \subset (1 + \varepsilon)A$, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Let $z \in A$ and assume on the contrary that there exist $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $z \notin (1 + \varepsilon)A$ i.e., $\frac{z}{(1 + \varepsilon)} \notin A$. By Fact 2 and hypothesis, $tz \in \text{int}\bar{A} = \text{int}A$, $\forall 0 \leq t < 1$. In particular, for $t = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} < 1$ we have $tz \notin A$ which is a contradiction. Now we show that $\bar{A} \subset \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)A$. Let $x \in \bar{A}$. If $x \in \text{int}A$, then clearly $x \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)A$. If $x \in \text{bdry}A$, then we show $x \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)A$. On the contrary, if $x \in \text{bdry}(1 + \varepsilon)A$ i.e., $\frac{x}{1 + \varepsilon} \in \text{bdry}A$ for some ε . By $0 \in \text{int}A$ and $x \in \bar{A}$ and Fact 2, $tx \in \text{int}A, \forall 0 \leq t < 1$. For $t = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon}$, $tx \in \text{bdry}A$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $x \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)A$ for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Conversely, $x \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)A$, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. For $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ $x \in \text{int}(1 + \frac{1}{n})A = \text{int}A_n$. Thence, there exist $U(x, r_n) \subset A_n$. Choose $y_n \in A_n$ such that $y_n \in U(x, r_n)$ and r_n such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $y_n \in A_n$ then there exists $x_n \in A$ such that $y_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})x_n$ which implies $x_n = \frac{y_n}{1 + \frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow x$. Hence $x \in \bar{A}$.

The proof of the following Lemma 2 closely follows the lines of the proof of [6, Proposition 3.2].

Lemma 2. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV), and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$, $x_0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{dom}B$ and $\text{dom}B$ is open. Assume that there exists $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\text{dom}A} \cap \text{bdry} \overline{\text{dom}B}$ such that it converges to a point in $[x_0, z]$. Then $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary

$$F_{A+B}(z, z^*) < \langle z, z^* \rangle. \tag{1}$$

By the necessary translation if necessary, we can suppose that $x_0 = 0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$ and $(0, 0) \in \text{gra}A \cap \text{gra}B$. By the assumption that, there exists $0 \leq \beta < 1$ such that

$$a_n \rightarrow \beta z. \tag{2}$$

Since $0 \in \text{int dom}B$ and by (1) Fact 2, we have

$$0 < \beta < 1 \text{ and } \beta z \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

Since $a_n \in \text{dom}A$ we set

$$y_0 := \beta z \text{ and} \tag{4}$$

By $0 \in \text{int dom}B$ and (3), there exists $0 < \rho_0 \leq \|y_0\|$ such that

$$\rho_0 \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{X}} \subseteq \text{dom}B. \tag{5}$$

Now we show that there exists $\beta \leq \delta_n \in [1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1[$ such that

$$H_n \subseteq \text{dom}B \tag{6}$$

where

$$H_n := \delta_n \beta z + (1 - \delta_n) \rho_0 \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{X}}. \tag{7}$$

By Fact 2 and Fact 2, we have for every $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$s\beta z + (1 - s)\rho_0 \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{X}} \subseteq \overline{\text{int dom} B} = \text{int dom} B.$$

Hence (6) holds.

Since $a_n \rightarrow y_0$ and $\delta_n \beta z = v_n$ (say) by (7), $v_n \rightarrow y_0$. Then we can suppose that

$$\|v_n\| \leq \|y_0\| + 1 \leq \|z\| + 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ (by (4)).} \tag{8}$$

Next we show that there exists $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra} A \cap (H_n \times X^*)$ such that

$$\langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq -K_0 \|a_n^*\| \tag{9}$$

where $K_0 = \frac{1}{\beta^2}(2\|z\| + 2)$. Since $\delta_n \beta z = v_n \in H_n$ and $a_n^* \in X^*$, then we consider two cases.

Case 1. $(v_n, a_n^*) \in \text{gra} A$. Take $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*) := (v_n, a_n^*)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle &= \langle z - v_n, a_n^* \rangle \\ &\geq -\|z - v_n\| \|a_n^*\| \\ &\geq -(2\|z\| + 2) \|a_n^*\| \text{ by equation (8).} \\ &\geq -K_0 \|a_n^*\|. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Hence (9) holds.

Case 2. $(v_n, a_n^*) \notin \text{gra} A$. By Fact 2 and by the assumption $a_n \in \overline{\text{dom} A}$, we get $v_n = \delta_n \beta z \in \overline{\text{dom} A}$. Therefore,

$H_n \cap \text{dom} A \neq \emptyset$. Since $(v_n, a_n^*) \notin \text{gra} A$ and $v_n \in H_n$, by using (FPV) property there exists $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*) \in \text{gra} A \cap (H_n \times X^*)$ such that

$$\langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* - \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle < 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* - a_n^* \rangle > 0 &\Rightarrow \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle > \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \delta_n \beta z - \delta_n \beta \tilde{a}_n + \delta_n \beta \tilde{a}_n - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle > \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \delta_n \beta (z - \tilde{a}_n) - (1 - \delta_n \beta) \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle > \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \delta_n \beta (z - \tilde{a}_n), \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle > (1 - \delta_n \beta) \langle \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle + \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta \leq \delta_n < 1$, $(0, 0) \in \text{gra} A$ and $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*) \in \text{gra} A$, applying monotonicity of A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_n \beta (z - \tilde{a}_n), \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle &\geq \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* \rangle \Rightarrow \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\delta_n \beta} \langle v_n - \tilde{a}_n, a_n^* \rangle. \\ &\Rightarrow \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{\delta_n \beta} \|v_n - \tilde{a}_n\| \|a_n^*\| \\ &\Rightarrow \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{\beta^2} \|v_n - \tilde{a}_n\| \|a_n^*\|. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since $v_n, \tilde{a}_n \in H_n$, then we have $\tilde{a}_n \rightarrow y_0$ and we can suppose that

$$\|\tilde{a}_n\| \leq \|y_0\| + 1 \leq \|z\| + 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{12}$$

Appealing to equation (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle &\geq -\frac{1}{\beta^2}(2\|z\| + 2)\|a_n^*\| \\ &= -K_0\|a_n^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta z \in \text{bdry dom}B$ and by hypothesis, we have $\beta z \in \overline{\text{dom}B} \setminus \text{dom}B$. Then by Fact 2 we have,

$$\inf\|B(H_n)\| \geq K_0\|a_n^*\|n. \tag{13}$$

Since $\tilde{a}_n \in H_n$, equation (6) implies that $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{int dom}B$ and $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{dom}A$. Again since $\tilde{a}_n \in H_n$ then take $b_n^* \in B(\tilde{a}_n)$ by (13),

$$\|b_n^*\| \geq nK_0\|a_n^*\|. \tag{14}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+B}(z, z^*) &= \sup_{\{\tilde{a}_n^* + b_n^* \in (A+B)(\tilde{a}_n)\}} [\langle \tilde{a}_n, z^* \rangle + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle] \\ &\geq [\langle \tilde{a}_n, z^* \rangle + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle]. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By (9) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+B}(z, z^*) &\geq [\langle \tilde{a}_n, z^* \rangle + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle] \\ \Rightarrow F_{A+B}(z, z^*) &\geq \langle \tilde{a}_n, z^* \rangle - K_0\|a_n^*\|n + \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \frac{F_{A+B}(z, z^*)}{\|b_n^*\|} &\geq \left\langle \tilde{a}_n, \frac{z^*}{\|b_n^*\|} \right\rangle - \frac{K_0\|a_n^*\|}{\|b_n^*\|} + \left\langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \frac{b_n^*}{\|b_n^*\|} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By Banach-Alaoglu Theorem [11, Theorem 3.15], there exist a *weak** convergent subnet $(\frac{b_\gamma^*}{\|b_\gamma^*\|})$ of $(\frac{b_n^*}{\|b_n^*\|})$ such that

$$\frac{b_\gamma^*}{\|b_\gamma^*\|} \longrightarrow v_\infty^* \in X^*. \tag{17}$$

Using (17) and taking limit in (16) along the subnet, we have $\langle z - \beta z, v_\infty^* \rangle \leq 0$

$$\langle z, v_\infty^* \rangle \leq 0. \tag{18}$$

On the other hand, since $0 \in \text{int dom}B$ by using Fact 2, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y^* \in B_y} \|y^*\| \leq M, \quad \forall y \in \varepsilon U_X. \tag{19}$$

Since $(\tilde{a}_n, b_n^*) \in \text{gra}B$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{a}_n - y, b_n^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \varepsilon U_X, y^* \in B(y), n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle - \langle y, b_n^* \rangle + \langle \tilde{a}_n - y, -y^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \varepsilon U_X, y^* \in B(y), n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle - \langle y, b_n^* \rangle \geq -(\|\tilde{a}_n\| + \varepsilon)M, \quad \forall y \in \varepsilon U_X, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \tilde{a}_n, b_n^* \rangle \geq \varepsilon \|b_n^*\| - (\|\tilde{a}_n\| + \varepsilon)M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Rightarrow & \left\langle \tilde{a}_n, \frac{b_n^*}{\|b_n^*\|} \right\rangle \geq \varepsilon - \frac{(\|\tilde{a}_n\| + \varepsilon)M}{\|b_n^*\|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Using (17) and taking limit in (20) along the subnet, we have $\langle \beta z, v_\infty^* \rangle \geq \varepsilon > 0$ which contradict to (18). Hence $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

Proposition 1. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV), and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$, $x_0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{dom}B$ and for every $x \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$, $\|x^*\| \leq |B(x)|$, $x^* \in A(x)$ holds. Assume that there exists $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\text{dom}A} \cap \text{bdry } \overline{\text{dom}B}$ such that it converges to a point in $[x_0, z]$. Then $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary

$$F_{A+B}(z, z^*) < \langle z, z^* \rangle. \tag{21}$$

By the necessary translation if necessary, we can suppose that $x_0 = 0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$ and $(0, 0) \in \text{gra}A \cap \text{gra}B$. By the assumption that, there exists $0 \leq \beta < 1$ such that

$$a_n \longrightarrow \beta z. \tag{22}$$

Since $0 \in \text{int dom}B$ and by (21) Fact 2, we have

$$0 < \beta < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta z \neq 0. \tag{23}$$

By the similar argument of Lemma 2, there exists $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra}A \cap (H_n \times X^*)$ such that

$$\langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq -K_0 \|a_n^*\| \tag{24}$$

where $K_0 = \frac{1}{\beta^2}(2\|z\| + 2)$. Since $\beta z \in \text{bdry dom}B$ we consider two cases:

Case 1. $\beta z \notin \text{dom}B$. By the same argument of Lemma 2, we obtain a contradiction.

Case 2. $\beta z \in \text{dom}B$. Since $\beta z \in \text{bdry dom}B$. Take $y_0^* \in N_{\overline{\text{dom}B}}(\beta z)$ such that

$$\langle y_0^*, \beta z - y \rangle > 0, \quad \text{for every } y \in \text{int dom}B. \tag{25}$$

Thus, $ty_0^* \in N_{\overline{\text{dom}B}}(\beta z), \forall t > 0$. Since $\beta z \in \overline{\text{dom}A}$, we again consider the following two subcases:

Subcase 1. $\beta z \in \text{dom}A$. Since $0 \in \text{int dom}B$ then by (25), we have

$$\langle y_0^*, z \rangle > 0. \tag{26}$$

Since B is maximally monotone. By [13, Lemma 28.5], $B = B + N_{\overline{\text{dom}B}}$ and $\beta z \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{dom}B$. Then we compute

$$F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \sup[\langle z - \beta z, A(\beta z) \rangle + \langle z - \beta z, B(\beta z) + ty_0^* \rangle + \langle z^*, \beta z \rangle].$$

Thus,

$$\frac{F_{A+B}(z, z^*)}{t} \geq \sup \left[\langle z - \beta z, \frac{A(\beta z)}{t} \rangle + \langle z - \beta z, \frac{B(\beta z)}{t} + y_0^* \rangle + \frac{\langle z^*, \beta z \rangle}{t} \right].$$

By (21), letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\langle z - \beta z, y_0^* \rangle \leq 0$ and since $\beta < 1$ we obtain

$$\langle z, y_0^* \rangle \leq 0,$$

which contradicts to (26).

Subcase 2. $\beta z \notin \text{dom}A$. Set $U_n := \beta z + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{U}_X$. Since $\beta z \in \overline{\text{dom}A}$ we have $\text{dom}A \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$. Since $(\beta z, \beta z^*) \notin \text{gra}A$. By using (FPV) property of A , there exists $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*) \in \text{gra}A \cap (U_n \times X^*)$ such that

$$\langle \beta z - \tilde{a}_n, \beta z^* - \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle < 0$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle &> \langle \beta z - \tilde{a}_n, \beta z^* \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle - \frac{(1-\beta)}{\beta} \langle \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle &> \langle \beta z - \tilde{a}_n, \beta z^* \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle &> \frac{(1-\beta)}{\beta} \langle \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle + \langle \beta z - \tilde{a}_n, \beta z^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(0, 0) \in \text{gra}A$ and $(\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^*) \in \text{gra}A$. By monotonicity of A , $\langle \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq 0$. Appealing to the above equation, we have

$$\langle z - \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n^* \rangle \geq \langle \beta z - \tilde{a}_n, \beta z^* \rangle. \tag{27}$$

Since $\beta z \in \text{bdry dom}B$. By $0 \in \text{int dom}B$, Fact 2 and Lemma 1, we have $\beta z \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)\text{dom}B$, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Since $\tilde{a}_n \rightarrow \beta z$. Thence, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)\text{dom}B, \forall n \geq n_0$. Thus, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1, \tilde{a}_n \in \text{int}(1 + \varepsilon)\text{dom}B, \forall n \geq n_0$. Therefore, $\tilde{a}_n \in \overline{\text{dom}B} \forall n \geq n_0$. By Fact 2, we have $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{dom}B$. Since $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{dom}A$ and $\tilde{a}_n \rightarrow \beta z$, then by Fact 2, we have $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{int dom}B$. Thus, $\tilde{a}_n \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$ and hence by hypothesis, there exists some $b_n^* \in B(\tilde{a}_n)$, such that $\|\tilde{a}_n^*\| \leq \|b_n^*\|$. By Fact 2, $\|\tilde{a}_n^*\| \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence $\|b_n^*\| \geq n\|a_n^*\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n^* \in X^*$. That is (14) of Lemma 2 holds. Then by the same argue of Lemma 2 we obtain a contradiction. Hence $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

Proposition 2. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be of type (FPV), and let $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone with (i) $\text{dom}B$ is open or (ii) for every $x \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B, \|x^*\| \leq |B(x)|, x^* \in A(x)$ holds and $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that there exists $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$ such that $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) < \langle z, z^* \rangle$. Then $z \in \overline{\text{dom}A}$.

Proof. By the necessary translation if necessary, we can suppose that $0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$ and $(0, 0) \in \text{gra}A \cap \text{gra}B$. We assume to the contrary that

$$z \notin \overline{\text{dom}A}. \tag{28}$$

By using equation (28) and Fact 2, we have there exist $(a_n, a_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra}A$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that

$$\langle z - a_n, a_n^* \rangle \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n \rightarrow \lambda z. \tag{29}$$

Now we consider the following cases.

Case 1. There exists a subsequence of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{dom}B$.

We can suppose that $a_n \in \text{dom}B$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by 29 and Fact 2, we have $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) = +\infty$, which is a

contradiction to the hypothesis that $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) < \langle z, z^* \rangle$.

Case 2. There exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n \notin \text{dom}B$ for every $n \geq n_1$.

Now we suppose that $a_n \notin \text{dom}B$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $a_n \notin \text{dom}B$, by Fact 2 and Fact 2, there exists $\beta_n \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\beta_n a_n \in \text{bdry } \overline{\text{dom}B}. \tag{30}$$

By equation (29), we can suppose that

$$\beta_n a_n \longrightarrow \beta z \tag{31}$$

Since $0 \in \text{int dom}B$ then by (28) and Fact 2, we have

$$0 < \beta < 1. \tag{32}$$

If (i) holds then by Lemma 2, we have $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$ and if (ii) hold. By Proposition 1, $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$ which is a contradiction. Hence by combining all the above cases, we have proved that $z \in \overline{\text{dom}A}$.

Theorem 1. [Main result] Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone with (i) $\text{dom}B$ is open or (ii) for every $x \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$, $\|x^*\| \leq |B(x)|$, $x^* \in A(x)$ holds and $\text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B \neq \emptyset$. Assume that A is of type (FPV). Then $A + B$ is maximally monotone.

Proof. By the necessary translation if necessary, we can suppose that $0 \in \text{dom}A \cap \text{int dom}B$ and $(0, 0) \in \text{gra}A \cap \text{gra}B$. From Fact 2, we have $\text{dom}A \subseteq P_X(\text{dom}F_A)$ and $\text{dom}B \subseteq P_X(\text{dom}F_B)$. Thus,

$$0 \in \text{Core}[\text{Conv}(\text{dom}A) - \text{Conv}(\text{dom}B)].$$

Hence

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda (P_X(\text{dom}F_A) - P_X(\text{dom}F_B)) = X.$$

Thus, by Fact 2 it is sufficient to prove that

$$F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle, \quad \forall (z, z^*) \in X \times X^*. \tag{33}$$

Let $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$. On the contrary assume that

$$F_{A+B}(z, z^*) < \langle z, z^* \rangle. \tag{34}$$

Then by equation (34) Proposition 2 and Fact 2 we have

$$z \in \overline{\text{dom}A} \setminus \overline{\text{dom}B}. \tag{35}$$

Since $z \in \overline{\text{dom}A}$, there exists $(a_n, a_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{gra}A$ such that

$$a_n \longrightarrow z. \tag{36}$$

By (35), $a_n \notin \overline{\text{dom}B}$ for all but finitely many terms a_n . We can suppose that $a_n \notin \overline{\text{dom}B}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Fact 2 and Fact 2, there exists $\beta_n \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\beta_n a_n \in \text{bdry } \overline{\text{dom}B}. \tag{37}$$

By (36) and $\beta \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\beta_n a_n \longrightarrow \beta z. \tag{38}$$

By (37) and (35) we have $0 < \beta < 1$. If (i) hold, by Lemma 2, we have a contradiction and if (ii) hold, by Proposition 1, we obtain a contradiction. Thus, we have $F_{A+B}(z, z^*) \geq \langle z, z^* \rangle$ for all $(z, z^*) \in X \times X^*$. Hence $A + B$ is maximally monotone.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors have contributed to all parts of the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] H. H. Bauschke, X. Wang and L. Yao, An answer to S. Simons' question on the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear operator and a normal cone operator, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 17 (2009) 195-201.
- [2] H. H. Bauschke, X. Wang and L. Yao, On the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear relation and the subdifferential operator of a sublinear function, *Proceedings of the Haifa Workshop on Optimization Theory and Related Topics. Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI* 568 (2012) 19-26.
- [3] J. M. Borwein, Maximality of sums of two maximal monotone operators in general Banach space, *P. Am. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007) 3917-3924.
- [4] J. M. Borwein and L. Yao, Maximality of the sum of a maximally monotone linear relation and a maximally monotone operator, *Set-Valued Var Anal.* 21 (2013) 603-616.
- [5] J. M. Borwein and L. Yao, Structure theory for maximally monotone operators with points of continuity, *J. Optim Theory Appl.* 157 (2013) 1-24 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10957-012-0162-y>.
- [6] J.M. Borwein and L. Yao, Sum theorems for maximally monotone operators of type (FPV), *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 97 (2014) 1-26.
- [7] S. Fitzpatrick, Representing monotone operators by convex functions, in *Work-shop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra 1988)*, *Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia*, 20 (1988) 59-65.
- [8] R.R. Phelps, *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [9] R.T. Rockafellar, Local boundedness of nonlinear, monotone operators, *Mich. Math. J.* 16 (1969) 397-407.
- [10] R.T. Rockafellar, On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators, *T. Am. Math. Soc.* 149 (1970) 75-88.
- [11] R. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1991.
- [12] S. Simons, *Minimax and Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [13] S. Simons, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [14] M.D. Voisei, The sum and chain rules for maximal monotone operators, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 16 (2008) 461-476.
- [15] L. Yao, The sum of a maximally monotone linear relation and the subdifferential of a proper lower semicontinuous convex function is maximally monotone, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 20 (2012) 155-167.
- [16] L. Yao, Maximality of the sum of the subdifferential operator and a maximally monotone operator, arXiv: 1406.7664v1[math.FA] 30 Jun 2014, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1406.7664.pdf>.
- [17] C. Zalinescu, *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing, 2002.