New Trends in Mathematical Sciences

# Corrigendum to: on irresolute topological vector spaces

Madhu Ram and Shallu Sharma

Department of mathematics, University of Jammu, JK-180006, India

Received: 7 September 2018, Accepted: 29 September 2018 Published online: 26 October 2018.

**Abstract:** In the paper *On Irresolute Topological Vector Spaces*, Advances in Pure Mathematics, 06(2016), 105-112, Khan and Iqbal state an Example 3, 'Consider the field  $F = \mathbb{R}$  with standard topology on *F*. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  be endowed with the topology  $\tau$  generated by the base  $\beta = \{\emptyset, X\} \cup \{(a, b), [0, c) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}_{(\mathbb{R})}, \tau)$  is an irresolute topological vector space' but this is false. In this paper, a valid refutation of this example is provided. A general result concerning this error is presented. Some new properties of irresolute topological vector spaces are investigated.

Keywords: Semi-open sets, semi-closed sets, irresolute topological vector spaces.

#### **1** Introduction

The concept of semi-open sets in topological spaces was invented by Norman Levine [3] in 1963. He defines a set *S* in a topological space *X* to be semi-open if there exists an open set *U* in *X* such that  $U \subseteq S \subseteq Cl(U)$ ; or equivalently, if  $S \subseteq Cl(Int(S))$ , where Cl(U) and Int(U) denote the closure of *U* and the interior of *U*, respectively in *X*. Every open set is semi-open but the converse is not true, in general. The complement of a semi-open set is called semi-closed; or equivalently, a set *S* in a topological space *X* is semi-closed if  $Int(Cl(S)) \subseteq S$ . The intersection of all semi-closed sets in a topological space *X* is semi-closed if and only if S = sCl(S). A point  $x \in sCl(S)$  if and only if  $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for each semi-open set *U* in *X* containing *x*. The union of all semi-open sets in *X* that are contained in a subset  $S \subseteq X$  is called by sInt(S). It is known that a set *S* in *X* is semi-open if and only if S = sInt(S). A point  $x \in X$  is called semi-interior point of a subset *S* of *X* if there exists a semi-open set *U* in *X* containing *x* such that  $U \subseteq S$ . A subset *S* of a topological space *X* is called a semi-neighborhood of a point *x* of *X* if there exists a semi-open set *U* in *X* such that  $x \in U \subseteq S$ .

Utilizing the concept of semi-open sets in the sense of Levine, in 2016, M.D. Khan and M.A. Iqbal [2] defined the irresolute topological vector spaces as follows.

Let *X* be a vector space over the field *F*, where  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  with the usual topology. Let  $\tau$  be a topology on *X* such that the following are satisfied:

- (i) for each  $x, y \in X$ , and each semi-open neighborhood W of x + y in X, there exist semi-open neighborhoods U and V of x and y, respectively in X such that  $U + V \subseteq W$  and
- (ii) for each  $\lambda \in F, x \in X$  and each semi-open neighborhood W of  $\lambda . x$  in X, there exist semi-open neighborhoods U of  $\lambda$  in F and V of x in X such that  $U.V \subseteq W$

Then the pair  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is called an irresolute topological vector space.



Along with the definition of irresolute topological vector spaces, M.D. Khan and M.A. Iqbal studied several of their properties and gave a few examples of them. Among them, example [2, Example 3] is false. In this paper, a valid refutation of this example is provided. A general result concerning this error is proved. Some new depths of irresolute topological vector spaces are investigated.

# 2 Error

This is Example 3 of the published paper [2]: Consider the field  $F = \mathbb{R}$  with standard topology on F. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  be endowed with the topology  $\tau$  generated by the base  $\beta = \{\emptyset, X\} \cup \{(a, b), [0, c) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}_{(\mathbb{R})}, \tau)$  is an irresolute topological vector space.

### **3 Refutation of example 3 of [2]**

The example [2, Example 3] is not irresolute topological vector space because, for semi-open set W = [0,1) in  $X = \mathbb{R}$  containing 0 = -1.0 ( $-1 \in F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \in X = \mathbb{R}$ ), there do not exist semi-open sets U in  $F = \mathbb{R}$  containing -1 and V in  $X = \mathbb{R}$  containing 0 such that  $U.V \subseteq [0,1)$ , since the sets of the form [a,0] or (a,0] are not semi-open in X where a is negative real number.

Alternatively, Consider the set A = [-2, -1] in  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Then A is semi-open set in X. If [2, Example 3] is an irresolute topological vector space, then, by theorem [2, Theorem 2], B = 1 + A = [-1, 0] is semi-open set in X which is not true because Cl(Int(B)) = [-1, 0) does not contain B.

In fact, the above reasoning paves the way for a more general result.

**Proposition 1.** If  $\tau$  is any topology on the real vector space  $X = \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau_u \subsetneq \tau$ , where  $\tau_u$  is the usual topology, then  $(X_{(\mathbb{R})}, \tau)$  is not an irresolute topological vector space.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X_{(\mathbb{R})}, \tau)$  is an irresolute topological vector space. Choicely, consider  $A \in \tau$  such that  $A \notin \tau_u$ . Then the second condition of the definition of irresolute topological vector spaces forces that A must be an interval whereby the following cases arise:

Case (I) If A = [a, b).

In this case, consider B = [x, y] and z = a - y in X, for some appropriate  $x, y \in X$ . Then we see that B is semi-open but z + B is not semi-open (otherwise,  $\tau$  must be lower limit topology on  $X = \mathbb{R}$  which do not satisfy the conditions of the definition of irresolute topological vector spaces). Anyway, violation of translationally invariance of semi-open sets is found which irresolute topological vector spaces cannot tolerate.

Case(II) If A = (a, b]. The same line of reasoning as in case (I) rule out this case as well.

Case (III) If A = [a, b].

Consider a semi-open set B = [x, y], for some suitable  $x, y \in X$  such that  $B \notin \tau$  (this is possible, otherwise  $\tau$  must be the discrete topology). Let z = a - y be an element of X. We will see that B is semi-open but z + B = [a + x - y, a] is not semi-open.

Hence, we observe that  $(X_{(\mathbb{R})}, \tau)$  does not preserve the depths of an irresolute topological vector space. Therefore, our assumption is wrong and thereby the assertion follows.



From here on, we simply write *X* for an irresolute topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  and by a scalar, we mean an element of the topological field *F*.

**Theorem 1.** Let A be any subset of an irresolute topological vector space X. Then the following statements hold:

(1) x + sCl(A) = sCl(x+A) for any  $x \in X$ . (2)  $sCl(\lambda A) = \lambda sCl(A)$  for any non-zero scalar  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $y \in sCl(x+A)$ . Consider z = -x + y and let W be any semi-open set in X containing z. Then by the definition of irresolute topological vector spaces, there exist semi-open sets U and V in X such that  $-x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U + V \subseteq W$ . This results in  $(x + A) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and hence there is  $a \in (x + A) \cap V$ . Now  $-x + a \in A \cap (U + V) \subseteq A \cap W \Rightarrow A \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $z \in sCl(A)$ ; that is,  $y \in x + sCl(A)$ . Therefore,  $sCl(x+A) \subseteq x + sCl(A)$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $z \in x + sCl(A)$ . Then z = x + y, for some  $y \in sCl(A)$ . Let W be any semi-open neighborhood of z in X. Then, there exist semi-open neighborhoods U and V of x and y respectively in X such that  $U + V \subseteq W$ . Since  $y \in sCl(A)$ ,  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Consider  $a \in A \cap V$ . Then  $x + a \in (x+A) \cap (U+V) \subseteq (x+A) \cap W$  implies  $(x+A) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $z \in sCl(x+A)$ . Therefore,  $x + sCl(A) \subseteq sCl(x+A)$ . Hence sCl(x+A) = x + sCl(A).

(2) Let  $y \in \lambda sCl(A)$ . Then  $y = \lambda x$ , for some  $x \in sCl(A)$ . Let W be a semi-open neighborhood of y in X. By definition of irresolute topological vector spaces, there exist semi-open neighborhoods U of  $\lambda$  in F and V of x in X such that  $U.V \subseteq W$ . Since  $x \in sCl(A)$ , there is  $a \in A \cap V$  and thereby,  $\lambda a \in \lambda A \cap (U.V) \subseteq \lambda A \cap W \Rightarrow (\lambda A) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $y \in sCl(\lambda A)$ . That is,  $\lambda sCl(A) \subseteq sCl(\lambda A)$ .

Next, let  $x \in sCl(\lambda A)$  and let W be any semi-open neighborhood of  $\frac{1}{\lambda}x$  in X. Then we get semi-open sets U in F containing  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  and V in X containing x such that  $U.V \subseteq W$ . Since  $x \in sCl(\lambda A)$ , there is  $a \in (\lambda A) \cap V$  and thus,  $\frac{1}{\lambda}a \in A \cap W \Rightarrow A \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $\frac{1}{\lambda}x \in sCl(A)$ ; that is,  $x \in \lambda sCl(A) \Rightarrow sCl(\lambda A) \subseteq \lambda sCl(A)$ . Hence the assertion follows.

**Theorem 2.** For any subset A of an irresolute topological vector space X, the following statements hold:

- (1) sInt(x+A) = x + sInt(A) for any  $x \in X$ .
- (2)  $sInt(\lambda A) = \lambda sInt(A)$  for any non-zero scalar  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $z \in sInt(x+A)$ . Then z = x + y for some  $y \in A$ . By the definition of irresolute topological vector spaces, there exist semi-open sets U and V in X containing x and y respectively, such that  $U + V \subseteq x + A$ . This gives that  $z = x + y \in x + V \subseteq x + sInt(A)$ . Therefore,  $sInt(x+A) \subseteq x + sInt(A)$ . Next, let  $y \in x + sInt(A)$ . Then  $-x + y \in sInt(A)$ . Since X is irresolute topological vector space, there exist semi-open sets U and V in X such that  $-x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U + V \subseteq A \Rightarrow V \subseteq x + A$ . Since V is semi-open,  $y \in sInt(x+A)$ . This proves that  $x + sInt(A) \subseteq sInt(x+A)$ . Hence the assertion follows.

(2) Suppose that  $x \in \lambda sInt(A)$ . Then there exist semi-open sets U in F containing  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  and V in X containing x such that  $U.V \subseteq sInt(A)$ . This implies that  $V \subseteq \lambda A$ . Since V is semi-open,  $x \in sInt(\lambda A)$ . Thus it follows that  $\lambda sInt(A) \subseteq sInt(\lambda A)$ . Next, if  $y \in sInt(\lambda A)$ , then  $y = \lambda x$  for some  $x \in A$ . By the definition of irresolute topological vector spaces, there exist semi-open neighborhoods U of  $\lambda$  in F and V of x in X such that  $U.V \subseteq sInt(\lambda A)$ . Consequently,  $y = \lambda x \in \lambda V \subseteq \lambda sInt(A)$ . Hence  $sInt(\lambda A) = \lambda sInt(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.** For any semi-closed set A in an irresolute topological vector space X, the following are true:

(1)  $Int(Cl(x+A)) \subseteq x + A$  for each  $x \in X$ .

(2)  $Int(Cl(\lambda A)) \subseteq \lambda A$  for each non-zero scalar  $\lambda$ .

© 2018 BISKA Bilisim Technology



*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $y \in sCl(x+A)$  and let W be any semi-open set in X containing z = -x+y. Then there exist  $U, V \in SO(X)$  such that  $-x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U+V \subseteq W$ . By assumption, there is  $a \in (x+A) \cap V$ . This gives  $-x + a \in A \cap (U+V) \subseteq A \cap W \Rightarrow A \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $z \in sCl(A) = A$ , i.e.,  $y \in x+A$ . This proves that sCl(x+A) = x+A and hence  $Int(Cl(x+A)) \subseteq x+A$ .

(2) Suppose that  $x \in sCl(\lambda A)$ . Consider  $y = \frac{1}{\lambda}x$  and let *W* be a semi-open set in *X* such that  $y \in W$ . Then we get an inclusion  $U.V \subseteq W$  for some semi-open sets *U* in *F* containing  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  and *V* in *X* containing *x*. By assumption, we have  $(\lambda A) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . So there is  $a \in (\lambda A) \cap V$ . This gives  $\frac{1}{\lambda}a \in A \cap W$ . This reflects that  $y \in sCl(A) = A \Rightarrow x \in \lambda A$ . This proves that  $\lambda A$  is semi-closed and therefore the assertion follows.

The following is an improvement of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 4.** For any subset A of an irresolute topological vector space X, the following are true:

(1)  $Int(Cl(x+A)) \subseteq x + sCl(A)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

(2)  $x + Int(Cl(A)) \subseteq sCl(x+A)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Proof.

- (1) Let A be any subset of X. Then sCl(A) is semi-closed set in X. In view of Theorem 3, x + sCl(A) is semi-closed in X. Thus it follows that  $Int(Cl(x+A)) \subseteq x + sCl(A)$ .
- (2) Since sCl(x+A) is semi-closed set in X, by Theorem 3, -x + sCl(x+A) is semi-closed set in X. Consequently,  $Int(Cl(A)) \subseteq -x + sCl(x+A) \Rightarrow x + Int(Cl(A)) \subseteq sCl(x+A).$

The analog of Theorem 4 is the following:

**Theorem 5.** For any subset A of an irresolute topological vector space X, the following are true:

Int(Cl(λA)) ⊆ λsCl(A) for each non-zero scalar λ.
λInt(Cl(A)) ⊆ sCl(λA) for each non-zero scalar λ.

Theorem 6. Let A be any semi-open subset of an irresolute topological vector space X. Then

x+A ⊆ Cl(Int(x+A)) for each x ∈ X.
λA ⊆ Cl(Int(λA)) for each non-zero scalar λ.

Proof. A direct consequence of [2, Theorem 2].

A generalization of Theorem 6 is the following.

Theorem 7. For any subset A of an irresolute topological vector space X, the following are valid.

(1) x+sInt(A) ⊆ Cl(Int(x+A)) for each x ∈ X.
(2) λsInt(A) ⊆ Cl(Int(λA)) for each non-zero scalar λ.

Proof. A simple consequence of [2, Theorem 2].

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors have contributed to all parts of the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.



## References

- [1] S.G. Crossley and S.K. Hildebrand, Semi-closure, Texas J. Sci. 22(1971), 99-112.
- [2] M.D. Khan and M.A. Iqbal, On Irresolute Topological Vector Spaces, Advances in Pure Mathematics, 06 (2016), 105-112.
- [3] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly. 70(1963), 36-41.