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#### Abstract

We consider two new subclasses $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \beta)$ of $\sum_{m}$ consisting of analytic and m-fold symmetric biunivalent functions in the open unit disk $U$. Furthermore, we establish bounds for the coefficients of functions in these subclasses and several related classes are also considered. In addition to these, connections to earlier known results are presented.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of functions of the from

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$, and Let $S$ be the subclass of $A$ consisting of from (1) which is also univalent in $U$ (for details, see [6]).

The Koebe one-quarter theorem [6] states that the image of $U$ under every function $f$ from $S$ contains a disk of Radius $1 / 4$. Thus, every such univalent function has inverse $f^{-1}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(f(z))=z(z \in U), f^{-1}(f(w))=w,\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0} \geq \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Function $f \in A$ is said to be bi univalent in $U$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $U$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in unit disk $U$. For a brief history and interesting examples in class $\Sigma$, see [17]. Examples of functions in the class $\Sigma$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{1-z},-\log (1-z), \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on. However, the familiar Koebe functions is not a member of $\Sigma$. Other common examples of functions in such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}, \frac{z}{1-z^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also not members of $\Sigma($ see [17]). For each function $f \in S$, function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sqrt[m]{f\left(z^{m}\right)}(z \in U, m \in \mathbf{N}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is univalent and maps the unit disk $U$ into a region with m-fold symmetry. $A$ function is said to be m -fold symmetric (see[11],[16]) if it has the following normalized form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{m k+1} z^{m k+1}(z \in U, m \in \mathrm{~N}) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $S_{m}$ the class of m -fold symmetric univalent functions in $U$, which are normalized by the series expansion (7). In fact, the functions in the class $S$ are one-fold symmetric. Analogous to the concept of m-fold symmetric univalent functions, we here introduced the concept of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Each function $f \in \Sigma$ generates an m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each integer $m \in \mathrm{~N}$. The normalized form of $f$ is given as in (7) and the series expansion for $f^{-1}$, which has been recently proven by Srivastava et al. [18], is given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
g(w)= & w-a_{m+1} w^{m+1}+\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right] w^{2 m+1} \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3 m+2) a^{3}{ }_{m+1}-(3 m+2) a_{m+1} a_{2 m+1}+a_{3 m+1}\right] w^{3 m+1}+\ldots \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f^{-1}=g$. We denote by $\Sigma_{m}$ the class of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in $U$. Some examples of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)^{1 / m},\left[-\log \left(1-z^{m}\right)\right]^{1 / m},\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)^{1 / m}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lewin [12] studied the class of bi-univalent functions, obtaining the bound 1.51 for modulus of the second coefficient $\left|a_{2}\right|$. Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [3] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \Sigma$. Later, Netanyahu [15] showed that $\max \left|a_{2}\right|=4 / 3$ if $f(z) \in \sum$. Brannan and Taha[4] introduced certain subclasses of b-iunivalent function class $\sum$ similar to the familiar subclasses. $S^{*}(\beta)$ and $K^{*}(\beta)$ are of starlike and convex function order $\beta(0 \leq \beta<1)$, respectively (see[15]).

The classes $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and bi-convex functions of order $\alpha$, corresponding to function classes $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$, were also introduced analogously. For each of function classes $\left.S^{*} \sum^{( } \alpha\right)$ and $K_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$, they found nonsharp estimates on the initial coefficients. In fact, the aforecited work of Srivastava et al. [17] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of bi-univalent function class $\sum$ in recent years. Recently, many authors investigated bounds for various subclasses of bi-univalent functions (see,[1],[2],[7],[8],[13], [17],[19]). Not much is known about the bounds on general coefficient $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for $n \geq 4$. In the literature, there are only a few works to determine general coefficient bounds $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for the analytic bi-univalent functions (see [5],[9],[10]). The coefficient estimate problem for each of $\left|a_{n}\right|(n \in \mathrm{~N} /\{1,2\} ; \mathrm{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})$ is still an open problem.

The aim of the this paper is to introduce two new subclasses of the function class $\sum_{m}$ and derive estimates on initial coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ for functions in these new subclasses. We have to remember the following lemma here so as to derive our basic results.

Lemma 1. [16]. If $p \in P$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2, \quad(n \in \mathrm{~N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \leq 2-\frac{\left|p_{1}\right|^{2}}{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Carathèodary class $P$ is the family of all functions $p$ analytic in $U$ for which

$$
\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>0, p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\ldots,(z \in U)
$$

## 2 Coefficient bounds for function class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \alpha)$

Definition 1. A function $f \in \sum_{m}$ is said to be in the class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \alpha),(\tau \in \mathrm{C} /\{0\}, 0<\alpha \leq 1,0 \leq \lambda<1)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\arg \left\{1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) f(z)}-1\right)\right\}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, z \in U  \tag{11}\\
\left|\arg \left\{1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\lambda) g(w)}-1\right)\right\}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, w \in U \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $g=f^{-1}$.
Theorem 1. Let fgiven by (7) be in the class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \alpha), 0<\alpha \leq 1$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq & \frac{2 \alpha|\tau|}{\sqrt{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right) \alpha \tau-(\alpha-1) m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}}}  \tag{13}\\
& \left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(m+1) \alpha^{2} \tau^{2}}{m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha|\tau|}{m(1+2 m \lambda)} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) f(z)}-1\right)=[p(z)]^{\alpha},  \tag{15}\\
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\lambda) g(w)}-1\right)=[q(w)]^{\alpha}, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g=f^{-1}$ and $p, q$ in $P$ have the following forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{m} z^{m}+p_{2 m} z^{2 m}+\ldots, q(w)=1+q_{m} w^{m}+q_{2 m} w^{2 m}+\ldots . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now , equating the coefficients (15) and (16) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\tau} m(1+m \lambda) a_{m+1}=\alpha p_{m},  \tag{18}\\
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda) a_{2 m+1}-m(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=a p_{2 m}+\frac{a(a-1)}{2} p^{2}{ }_{m},  \tag{19}\\
-\frac{1}{\tau} m(1+m \lambda) a_{m+1}=\alpha q_{m}  \tag{20}\\
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda)\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right]-m(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=a q_{2 m}+\frac{a(a-1)}{2} q^{2}{ }_{m} \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Form (18) and (20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=-q_{m}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\tau^{2}} m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}=\alpha^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (19), (21) and (23) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[a_{m+1}^{2} 2 m\left[(1+2 m \lambda)(m+1)-(1+m \lambda)^{2}\right]\right]=\alpha\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right)  \tag{24}\\
a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2} \tau^{2}\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right) \alpha \tau-(\alpha-1) m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 for coefficients $p_{2 m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha|\tau|}{\sqrt{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right) \alpha \tau-(\alpha-1) m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}}} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, by subtracting (21) from (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda)\left(2 a_{2 m+1}-(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}\right)\right]=\alpha\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}-q_{m}^{2}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in view of (22) and (23) and applying Lemma 1 for coefficients $p_{m}, p_{2 m}$ and $q_{m}, q_{2 m}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(m+1) \alpha^{2} \tau^{2}}{m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha|\tau|}{m(1+2 m \lambda)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Coefficient bounds for function class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta)$

Definition 2. A function $f \in \sum_{m}$ given by (7) is said to be in class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta),(\tau \in \mathrm{C} /\{0\}, 0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \lambda<1)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) f(z)}-1\right)\right)>\beta, z \in U  \tag{29}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\lambda) g(w)}-1\right)\right)>\beta, w \in U \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $g=f^{-1}$.
Theorem 2. Let given by (7) be in class textbfS $\sum_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta), 0 \leq \beta<1$. Then,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}}  \tag{31}\\
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(m+1) \tau^{2}(1-\beta)^{2}}{m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}}+\frac{|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m(1+2 m \lambda)} \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta)$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) f(z)}-1\right)=\beta+(1-\beta) p(z) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\lambda) g(w)}-1\right)=\beta+(1-\beta) q(w) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q \in P$ and $g=f^{-1}$. It follows from (33), (34) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\tau} m(1+m \lambda) a_{m+1}=(1-\beta) p_{m}  \tag{35}\\
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda) a_{2 m+1}-m(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=(1-\beta) p_{2 m}  \tag{36}\\
-\frac{1}{\tau} m(1+m \lambda) a_{m+1}=(1-\beta) q_{m}  \tag{37}\\
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda)\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right]-m(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=(1-\beta) q_{2 m} \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (35) and (37), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=-q_{m}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\tau^{2}} m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}=(1-\beta)^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (36) and (38), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda)(m+1)-2 m(1+m \lambda)^{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}=(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{\tau(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 for the coefficients $p_{2 m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, by subtracintig (38) from (36), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[4 m(1+2 m \lambda) a_{2 m+1}-2 m(1+2 m \lambda)(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=(1-\beta)\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in view of (39) and (40), applying Lemma 1 for coefficients $p_{m}, p_{2 m}$ and $q_{m}, q_{2 m}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(m+1) \tau^{2}(1-\beta)^{2}}{m^{2}(1+m \lambda)^{2}}+\frac{|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m(1+2 m \lambda)} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4 Coefficient bounds for function class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta)$

Definition 3. [3] Let $p_{n}(\beta)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$ denote the class of univalent analytic function $p$, normalized with $p(0)=1$ and satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{\operatorname{Rep}(z)-\beta}{1-\beta}\right| d \theta \leq k \pi
$$

where $z=r e^{i \theta}$. For $\beta=0$, we denote, $p_{n}=p_{n}(0)$ hence the class $p_{n}$ represents the class of functions $p(z)$, analytic in $U$, normalized with $p(0)=1$ and having the representation

$$
p(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-z e^{i t}}{1+z e^{i t}} d u(t),
$$

where $u$ is $\alpha$ real valued function with bounded variation which satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d u(t)=2 \pi \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d u(t)| \leq n, n \geq 2
$$

Note that $p=p_{2}$ is the well known class of Caratheodory function (the normalized functions with positive real part in the open unit disk $U$ ).

Definition 4. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, a function $f \in \sum_{m}$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta)$, if the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) f(z)}-1\right) \in p_{n}(\beta)  \tag{46}\\
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)+(1-\lambda) g(w)}-1\right) \in p_{n}(\beta) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\tau \in \mathrm{C} /\{0\}$ the function $g=f^{-1}$ is given by (3), and $z, w \in U$. In order to derive Theorem 3, we shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. [20]. Let the function $\phi(z)=1+h_{1} z+h_{2} z^{2}+\ldots ; z \in U$ such that $\phi \in p_{n}(\beta)$ then, $\left|h_{k}\right| \leq n(1-\beta) ; k \geq 1$.
Theorem 3. If $f \in S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}}, \frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m(1+m \lambda)}\right\},  \tag{48}\\
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{(m+1) n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)} \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in S_{\sum_{m}}(\lambda, \tau, \beta)$, from the definition relations (46) and (47) it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\tau} m(1+m \lambda) a_{m+1}=p_{m},  \tag{50}\\
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda) a_{2 m+1}-m(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=p_{2 m},  \tag{51}\\
-\frac{1}{\tau} m(1+m \lambda) a_{m+1}=q_{m}, \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda)\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right]-m(1+m \lambda)^{2} a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=q_{2 m} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (50) and (52), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}=\frac{\tau p_{m}}{m(1+m \lambda)}=\frac{-\tau q_{m}}{m(1+m \lambda)} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (51), (53) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{\tau\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2 for coefficients $p_{2 m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}}, \frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{m(1+m \lambda)}\right\} . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, by subtracting (51) from (53), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau}\left[2 m(1+2 m \lambda)\left(2 a_{2 m+1}-(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}\right)\right]=p_{2 m}-q_{2 m} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in view of (54) and (55) and applying Lemma 2 for coefficients $p_{m}, p_{2 m}$ and $q_{m}, q_{2 m}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{(m+1) n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{2 m\left(m+2 m^{2} \lambda-m^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## 5 Conclusions

If we set $\lambda=0$ and $\tau=1$ in Theorems 1 and 2 , then the classes $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \beta)$ reduce to the classes $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\beta}$ respectively. Thus we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. [2]. Let $f$ given by (7) be in the class $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\alpha}(0<\alpha \leq 1)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{m \sqrt{\alpha+1}} \text { and }\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{2(m+1) a^{2}}{m^{2}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. [2]. Let $f$ given by (7) be in the class $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\beta}(0 \leq \beta<1)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}}{m} \text { and }\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(m+1)(1-\beta)^{2}}{m^{2}}+\frac{1-\beta}{m} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Classes $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\beta}$ are, respectively, defined as follows.
Definition 5. [2]. A function $f \in S_{\sum_{m}}$ given by (7) is said to be in class $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\alpha}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\arg \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, f \in \sum,(0<\alpha \leq 1, z \in U)  \tag{61}\\
& \left|\arg \left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, g \in \sum,(0<\alpha \leq 1, w \in U) \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $g=f^{-1}$.
Definition 6. [2]. A function $f \in S_{\sum_{m}}$ given by (7) is said to be in class $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\beta}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\beta, f \in \sum, \quad(0 \leq \beta<1, z \in U)  \tag{63}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}\right)>\beta, g \in \sum, \quad(0 \leq \beta<1, \quad w \in U) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $g=f^{-1}$.
For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions and $\lambda=0$, Theorems 2 and 3 reduce to Corollaries 6 and 7, respectively, which were proven earlier by Murugusundaramoorty et. al. [14].

Corollary 3. [14]. Let $f$ given by (7) $b$ in class $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)(0<\alpha \leq 1)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha+1}} \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 4 \alpha^{2}+\alpha \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4. [14]. Let $f$ given by (7) be in the class $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\beta)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2(1-\beta)} \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 4(1-\beta)^{2}+(1-\beta) . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $\lambda=0, \lambda=1$ and $\tau=1$ in Theorem 1, then the classes $S_{\sum_{m}}(\tau, \lambda, \beta)$ reduce to the class $S_{\sum_{m}}^{\beta}$. Thus, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 5. [20]. If $1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right] \in p_{n}(\beta)$ and $1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}-1\right] \in p_{n}(\beta)$ then,

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \{\sqrt{n|\lambda|(1-\beta)}, \quad n|\tau|(1-\beta)\} \quad \text { and } a_{3} \leq n|\tau|(1-\beta) .
$$

Corollary 6. [20]. If $1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right] \in p_{n}(\beta)$ and $1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right] \in p_{n}(\beta)$ then,

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{2}}, \quad \frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{2}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{n|\tau|(1-\beta)}{2}
$$
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