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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new identity for functions defined on an open invex subset of set of real numbers is established, and by using the this identity and the Hölder integral inequality and Power mean integral inequalitiy we present new type integral inequalities for functions whose powers of fourth derivatives in absolute value are prequasiinvex functions.
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## 1 Introduction

In recent years, many mathematicians have been studying about preinvexity and types of preinvexity. A lot of efforts have been made by many mathematicians to generalize the classical convexity. These studies include, among others, the work of [ $5,14,15,16]$. In this papers have been studied the basic properties of the preinvex functions and their role in optimization, variational inequalities and equilibrium problems. Ben-Israel and Mond gave the concept of preinvex functions which is a special case of invexity [5]. Pini introduced the concept of prequasiinvex functions as a generalization of invex functions [14].

Definition 1. A function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if the inequality

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t f(x)+(1-t) f(y)
$$

is valid for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$. If this inequality reverses, then $f$ is said to be concave on interval $I \neq \varnothing$.
This definition is well known in the literature. Convexity theory has appeared as a powerful technique to study a wide class of unrelated problems in pure and applied sciences.

Definition 2. $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on the interval I of real numbers and $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$. The following celebrated double inequality

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}
$$

is well known in the literature as Hermite-Hadamard's inequality for convex functions. Both inequalities hold in the reserved direction if $f$ is concave.

The classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality provides estimates of the mean value of a continuous convex or concave function. Hadamard's inequality for convex or concave functions has received renewed attention in recent years and a
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remarkable variety of refinements and generalizations have been found; for example see [3, 6, 8, 11]. Hermite-Hadamard inequality has been considered the most useful inequality in mathematical analysis. Some of the classical inequalities for means can be derived from Hermite-Hadamard inequality for particular choices of the function $f$.

Definition 3. A function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasi-convex if the inequality

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \max \{f(x), f(y)\}
$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$. Clearly, any convex function is a quasi-convex function. Furthermore, there exist quasi-convex functions which are not convex [4].

Let us recall the notions of preinvexity and prequasiinvexity which are signicant generalizations of the notions of convexity and qusi-convexity respectively, and some related results.

Definition 4. [15] Let $K$ be a non-empty subset in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $x \in K$, then the set $K$ is said to be invex at $x$ with respect to $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$, if $x+t \eta(y, x) \in K, \quad \forall x, y \in K \quad t \in[0,1] . K$ is said to be an invex set with respect to if $K$ is invex at each $x \in K$. The invex set $K$ is also called $\eta$-connected set.

Definition 5. [15] A function $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on an invex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be preinvex with respect to $\eta$, if

$$
f(u+t \eta(v, u)) \leq(1-t) f(u)+t f(v), \quad \forall u, v \in K, t \in[0,1] .
$$

The function $f$ is said to be preconcave if and only if $-f$ is preinvex.
It is to be noted that every convex function is preinvex with respect to the map $\eta(v, u)=v-u$ but the converse is not true see for instance.

Definition 6. [1] A function $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on an invex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be prequasiinvex with respect to $\eta$, if

$$
f(u+t \eta(v, u)) \leq \max \{f(u), f(v)\}, \forall u, v \in K, t \in[0,1] .
$$

Also every quasi-convex function is a prequasiinvex with respect to the map $\eta(v, u)=v-u$ but the converse does not hold, see for example [1].

Mohan and Neogy [12] introduced Condition $C$ defined as follows:
Definition 7. [12] Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to the map $\eta: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that the function satisfies the Condition $C$ if, for any $x, y \in S$ and any $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta(y, y+t \eta(x, y))=-t \eta(x, y)  \tag{1}\\
\eta(x, y+t \eta(x, y))=(1-t) \eta(x, y) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that, from the Condition $C$, we have

$$
\eta\left(y+t_{2} \eta(x, y) y, y+t_{1} \eta(x, y)\right)=\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \eta(x, y)
$$

for any $x, y \in S$ and any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$.
In a recent paper, Noor has obtained the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for the preinvex functions [13].

Theorem 1. [13] Let $f: K=[a, a+\eta(b, a)] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a preinvex function on the interval of the real numbers $K^{\circ}$ (the interior of $K$ ) and $a, b \in K^{\circ}$ with $\eta(b, a)>0$. Then the following inequalities holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{2 a+\eta(b, a)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(b, a)} \int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For several recent results on inequalities for preinvex and prequasiinvex functions which are connected to (1.3), we refer the interested reader to $[2,7,10]$ and the references therein.

Let $0<a<b$, throughout this paper we will use

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =A(a, b)=\frac{a+b}{2} \\
L_{p}(a, b) & =\left(\frac{b^{p+1}-a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, a \neq b, p \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p \neq-1,0
\end{aligned}
$$

for the arithmetic and generalized logarithmic mean, respectively. Moreover, for shortness, the following notations will be used:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha=\alpha(a, b, \eta)=a+\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2}, & \alpha_{t}=\alpha_{t}(a, b, \eta)=a+t \frac{\eta(b, a)}{2} \\
\beta=\beta(a, b, \eta)=a+\frac{\eta(b, a)}{3}, & \beta_{t}=\beta_{t}(a, b, \eta)=a+t \frac{\eta(b, a)}{3} \\
\gamma=\beta(a, b, \eta)=a+\frac{\eta(b, a)}{4}, & \gamma_{t}=\gamma_{t}(a, b, \eta)=a+t \frac{\eta(b, a)}{4}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{f}(a, b, \eta):= & \frac{\eta^{3}(b, a)}{6}\left(a+\frac{\eta(b, a)}{4}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(a+\eta(b, a))-\frac{\eta^{2}(b, a)}{2}\left(a+\frac{\eta(b, a)}{3}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(a+\eta(b, a)) \\
& +\eta(b, a)\left(a+\frac{\eta(b, a)}{2}\right) f^{\prime}(a+\eta(b, a))-(a+\eta(b, a)) f(a+\eta(b, a))+\eta(b, a) \int_{0}^{1} f(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, using a general integral identity for a three times differentiable functions, we establish some new type integral inequalities for mappings whose fourth derivative in absolute value at certain powers are prequasiinvex.

## 2 Main results

We will use the following Lemma [9] for obtain our main results about the prequasiinvexity.

Lemma 1. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot): K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a)>0$. Suppose that the function $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fourth times differentiable function on $K$ such that $f^{(i v)} \in L[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$. Then the following identity hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta^{4}(b, a) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{3}}{6}\left(a+t \frac{\eta(b, a)}{4}\right) f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t & =\frac{\eta^{3}(b, a)}{6} \gamma f^{\prime \prime \prime}(a+\eta(b, a))-\frac{\eta^{2}(b, a)}{2} \beta f^{\prime \prime}(a+\eta(b, a)) \\
& +\eta(b, a) \alpha f^{\prime}(a+\eta(b, a))-f(a+\eta(b, a))(a+\eta(b, a)) \\
& +f(a) a+\int_{a}^{a+\eta(b, a)} f(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot): K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a)>0$. Suppose that the function $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fourth times differentiable function on $K$ such that $f^{(i v)} \in L[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$. If $\left|f^{(i v)}\right|^{q}$ is prequasiinvex on $K$ for $q>1$, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| \leq \frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}}{3} \eta^{3+\frac{1}{p}}(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{3 p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a, b) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C_{\eta}(q, a, b):= \begin{cases}\frac{\eta(b, a)}{4} L_{q}^{q}(\gamma, a), & a>0, \gamma>0 \\ \frac{2}{q+1} A\left[\gamma^{q+1},(-a)^{q+1}\right], & a<0, \gamma>0 \\ \frac{\eta(b, a)}{4} L_{q}^{q}(-a,-\gamma), & a<0, \gamma<0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $\left|f^{(i v)}\right|^{q}$ for $q>1$ is prequasiinvex on $[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$, using Lemma 1, the Hölder integral inequality and

$$
\left|f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} \leq \max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| & \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6} \int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left(a+t \frac{\eta(b, a)}{4}\right) f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6} \int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right|\left|f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t \\
& \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{3 p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\gamma_{t}\right|^{q}\left|f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{3 p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\gamma_{t}\right|^{q} \max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}}{3} \eta^{3+\frac{1}{p}}(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{3 p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{a}^{\gamma}|x|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}}{3} \eta^{3+\frac{1}{p}}(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{3 p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Corollary 1. Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(b, a)=b-a$ then when $\left|f^{(i v)}\right|^{q}$ is prequasiinvex on $K$ for $q>1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{6}\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)-\frac{(b-a)}{2}\left(\frac{2 a+b}{3}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(b) \frac{a+b}{2} f^{\prime}(b)-\frac{f(b) b-f(a) a}{b-a}+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}}{3} \eta^{2+\frac{1}{p}}(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{3 p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C(q, a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\frac{b-a}{4} L_{q}^{q}\left(\frac{b+3 a}{4}, a\right), & a>0, \frac{b+3 a}{4}>0 \\
\frac{2}{q+1} A\left[\left(\frac{b+3 a}{4}\right)^{q+1},(-a)^{q+1}\right], & a<0, \frac{b+3 a}{4}>0 \\
\frac{b-a}{4} L_{q}^{q}\left(-a,-\frac{b+3 a}{4}\right), & a<0, \frac{b+3 a}{4}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark. If the mapping $\eta$ satisfies condition $C$ then by use of the prequasiinvexity of $\left|f^{(i v)}\right|^{q}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q}=\left|f^{(i v)}(a+\eta(b, a)+(1-t) \eta(a, a+\eta(b, a)))\right|^{q} \leq \max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(a+\eta(b, a))\right|^{q}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in[0,1]$. If we use the inequality (5) in the proof of Theorem 2 , then the inequality (4) becomes the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| \leq \frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}}{3} \eta^{3+\frac{1}{p}}(b, a)\left(\frac{1}{3 p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(a+\eta(b, a))\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a, b) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that by use of the prequasiinvexity of $\left|f^{(i v)}\right|^{q}$ we have

$$
\left|f^{(i v)}(a+\eta(b, a))\right|^{q} \leq \max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(a+\eta(b, a))\right|^{q}\right\}
$$

Therefore, the inequality (6) is better than the inequality (4).

Theorem 3. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot): K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in K$ with $\eta(b, a)>0$. Suppose that the function $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fourth times differentiable function on $K$ such that $f^{(i v)} \in L[a, a+\eta(b, a)]$. If $\left|f^{(i v)}\right|^{q}$ is prequasiinvex on $K$ for $q \geq 1$, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| \leq \frac{2^{7}}{3}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1, \eta}(a, b) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{1, \eta}(a, b):= \begin{cases}\frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{4^{4}} \frac{\eta(b, a)+5 a}{20}, & a>0, \gamma>0 \\ \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{4^{4}} \frac{\eta(b, a)+5 a}{20}+\frac{a^{5}}{10}, & a<0, \gamma>0, \\ -\frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{4^{4}} \frac{\eta(b, a)+5 a}{20}, & a<0, \gamma<0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 and Power-mean integral inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| & \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6} \int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left(a+t \frac{\eta(b, a)}{4}\right) f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a)) d t \\
& \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6} \int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right|\left|f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right| d t \\
& \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right| d t\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right|\left|f^{(i v)}(a+t \eta(b, a))\right|^{q} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq \frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right| d t\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right| \max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\frac{\eta^{4}(b, a)}{6}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{0}^{1} t^{3}\left|\gamma_{t}\right| d t \\
& =\frac{4^{4}}{6}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{a}^{\gamma}(x-a)^{3}|x| d x \\
& =\frac{2^{7}}{3}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1, \eta}(a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Corollary 2. Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(b, a)=b-a$ then when $\left|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|^{q}$ is prequasiinvex on $K$ for $q \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{6}\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)-\frac{(b-a)}{2}\left(\frac{2 a+b}{3}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(b)+\frac{a+b}{2} f^{\prime}(b)-\frac{f(b) b-f(a) a}{b-a}+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \\
\leq \frac{2^{7}}{3} \frac{1}{b-a}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1, \eta}(a, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
D_{1, \eta}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{(b-a)^{4}}{4^{4}} \frac{b+4 a}{20}, & a>0, \frac{b+3 a}{4}>0 \\ \frac{(b-a)^{4}}{4^{4}} \frac{b+4 a}{20}+\frac{a^{5}}{10}, & a<0, \frac{b+3 a}{4}>0 \\ -\frac{(b-a)^{4}}{4^{4}} \frac{b+4 a}{20}, & a<0, \frac{b+3 a}{4}<0\end{cases}
$$

Remark. Let $\eta$ satisfies the condition $C$. If we use the inequality (5) in the proof of Theorem 3, then the inequality (7) becomes the following inequality:

$$
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| \leq \frac{2^{7}}{3}\left(\max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{(i v)}(a+\eta(b, a))\right|^{q}\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1, \eta}(a, b)
$$

This inequality is better than the inequality (7).
Corollary 3. If we take $q=1$ in the inequality (7) in Theorem 3, then we have the following inequality:

$$
\left|I_{f}(a, b, \eta)\right| \leq \frac{2^{7}}{3} \max \left\{\left|f^{(i v)}(a)\right|,\left|f^{(i v)}(b)\right|\right\} D_{1, \eta}(a, b)
$$
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