

Fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft topology

Idris Zorlutuna and Serkan Atmaca

Department of Mathematics, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

Received: 19 October 2015, Revised: 21 October 2015, Accepted: 28 November 2015

Published online: 30 January 2016.

Abstract: Recently, researches have contributed a lot towards fuzzification of Soft Set Theory. In this paper, we introduce the topological structure of fuzzyfying soft sets called fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft sets. We define the notion of quasi-coincidence for fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft sets and investigated basic properties of it. We study the closure, interior, base, continuity and compactness in the content of fuzzy parametrized fuzzy topological spaces.

Keywords: Fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft set, fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft mapping, topology

1 Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [32] generalized the usual notion of a set with the introduction of fuzzy set. The theory of fuzzy set has been successfully applied to many areas such as many real life problems in uncertain, ambiguous environment. Chang [15] defined the fuzzy topology and introduced many topological notions in fuzzy setting, in 1968.

In 1999, Molodtsov [26] introduced the soft set theory which is a new approach for modelling uncertainty and presented that soft set can be applied to several areas, such as game theory, perron integrations, smoothness of functions and so on. Many researchers successfully improved the theory by applying this concept on topological spaces (e.g. [6, 7, 19, 27, 33]), group theory, ring theory (e.g. [1, 2, 14, 17, 21]), and also decision making problems (e.g. [12, 13, 16, 23]).

Recently, researchers have combined fuzzy set and soft set to generalize the spaces and to solve more complicated problems. By this way, many interesting applications of soft set theory have been expanded. First combination of fuzzy set and soft set is fuzzy soft set and it was given by Maji and et al [24]. Then fuzzy soft set theory has been applied in several directions, such as topology (e.g. [3, 5, 29, 30]), various algebraic structures (e.g. [4, 20]) and especially decision making (e.g. [18, 22, 28, 31]). Second combination of fuzzy set and soft set was given by Çağman and et al. [8] and called it as fuzzy parametrized soft set (as shortly FPS set). In that paper, Çağman and et al. defined operations on FPS sets and improved several results. After that, Çağman and Deli [9, 11] applied FPS sets to define some decision making methods and applied these methods to problems that contain uncertainties and fuzzy object. The third and the last one was also given by Çağman and et al. [10] and it is called fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft set (as shortly FPFS set). Then they defined operations on FPFS sets and improved an method to solve some decision making problems.

In the present paper, we consider the topological structure of FPFS sets. Firstly, we give some basic ideas of FPFS sets and also studied results. We define FPFS quasi-coincidence, as a generalization of quasi-coincidence in fuzzy manner

[25] and use this notion to characterize concepts of FPFS closure and FPFS base in FPFS topological spaces. We also introduce the notion of mapping on FPFS classes and investigate the properties of FPFS images and FPFS inverse images of FPFS sets. We define FPFS topology in Chang's sense. We study the FPFS closure and FPFS interior operators and properties of these concepts. Lastly we define FPFS continuous mappings and we show that image of a FPFS compact space is also FPFS compact.

This paper is the fundamental study on FPFS topological spaces. One can use results deducted from this paper in the theory topological structures.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper X denotes initial universe, E denotes the set of all possible parameters which are attributes, characteristic or properties of the objects in X , and the set of all subsets of X will be denoted by $P(X)$.

Definition 1. [32] A function A from X to unit interval $[0, 1]$ is called fuzzy set in X . For every $x \in X$, $\mu_A(x)$ is called the grade of membership of x in A . A fuzzy point in X , whose value is α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) at the support $x \in X$, is denoted by x_α . A fuzzy point $x_\alpha \in A$, where A is fuzzy set in X iff $\alpha \leq \mu_A(x)$. A is called empty fuzzy set if $\mu_A(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, denoted by $\bar{0}$. If $\mu_A(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$, A is denoted by $\bar{1}$.

Definition 2. [26] A pair (F, E) is called a soft set over X if F is a mapping defined by $F : E \rightarrow P(X)$.

In the other words, a soft set is a parametrized family of subsets of the set X . For each $e \in E$, the set $F(e)$ may be considered as the set of e -elements of the soft set (F, E) .

Definition 3. [10] Let A be a fuzzy set over E . A fuzzy parametrized fuzzy soft set (FPFS) F_A on the universe X is defined as follows:

$$F_A = \{(\mu_A(e)/e, f_A(e)) : e \in E, f_A(e) \in I^X, \mu_A(e) \in [0, 1]\},$$

where the function $f_A : E \rightarrow I^X$ is called approximate function of F_A such that $f_A(e) = \bar{0}$ if $\mu_A(e) = 0$.

From now on, the set of all FPFS sets over X will be denoted by $FPFS(X, E)$.

Definition 4. [10] Let $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$.

- (1) F_A is called the empty FPFS set if $\mu_A(e) = 0$ and $f_A(e) = \bar{0}$ for all every $e \in E$, denoted by F_\emptyset .
- (2) F_A is called A -universal FPFS set if $\mu_A(e) = 1$ and $f_A(e) = \bar{1}$ for all $e \in A$, denoted by $F_{\bar{A}}$.

If $A = E$, then A -universal FPFS set is called universal FPFS set, denoted by $F_{\bar{E}}$.

Definition 5. [10] Let $F_A, F_B \in FPFS(X, E)$.

- (1) F_A is called a subset of F_B if $A \subseteq B$ and $f_A(e) \leq f_B(e)$ for every $e \in E$ and we write $F_A \tilde{\subset} F_B$.
- (2) F_A and F_B are said to be equal, denoted by $F_A = F_B$ if $F_A \tilde{\subset} F_B$ and $F_B \tilde{\subset} F_A$.
- (3) The union of F_A and F_B , denoted by $F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B$, is the FPFS set, defined by the membership and approximate functions $\mu_{A \cup B}(e) = \max\{\mu_A(e), \mu_B(e)\}$ and $f_{A \cup B}(e) = f_A(e) \vee f_B(e)$ for every $e \in E$, respectively.
- (4) The intersection of F_A and F_B , denoted by $F_A \tilde{\cap} F_B$, is the FPFS set, defined by the membership and approximate functions $\mu_{A \cap B}(e) = \min\{\mu_A(e), \mu_B(e)\}$ and $f_{A \cap B}(e) = f_A(e) \wedge f_B(e)$ for every $e \in E$, respectively.

Definition 6. [10] Let $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. Then the complement of F_A , denoted by F_A^c , is the FPFS set, defined by the membership and approximate functions $\mu_{A^c}(e) = 1 - \mu_A(e)$ and $f_A^c(e) = \bar{1} - f_A(e)$ for every $e \in E$, respectively. Clearly,

$$(F_A^c)^c = F_A, \quad F_{\tilde{E}}^c = F_{\emptyset} \text{ and } F_{\emptyset}^c = F_{\tilde{E}}.$$

Proposition 1. [10] Let F_A, F_B and $F_C \in FPFS(X, E)$. Then

- (1) $(F_A \widetilde{\cup} F_B)^c = F_A^c \widetilde{\cap} F_B^c$.
- (2) $(F_A \widetilde{\cap} F_B)^c = F_A^c \widetilde{\cup} F_B^c$.
- (3) $F_A \widetilde{\cap} F_A = F_A, \quad F_A \widetilde{\cup} F_A = F_A$.
- (4) $F_A \widetilde{\cap} F_{\emptyset} = F_{\emptyset}, \quad F_A \widetilde{\cap} F_{\tilde{E}} = F_A$.
- (5) $F_A \widetilde{\cap} F_B = F_B \widetilde{\cap} F_A, \quad F_A \widetilde{\cup} F_B = F_B \widetilde{\cup} F_A$.
- (6) $F_A \widetilde{\cap} (F_B \widetilde{\cap} F_C) = (F_A \widetilde{\cap} F_B) \widetilde{\cap} F_C, \quad F_A \widetilde{\cup} (F_B \widetilde{\cup} F_C) = (F_A \widetilde{\cup} F_B) \widetilde{\cup} F_C$.
- (7) $F_A \widetilde{\cup} F_{\emptyset} = F_A, \quad F_A \widetilde{\cup} F_{\tilde{E}} = F_{\tilde{E}}$.

3 Some properties of FPFS sets and FPFS mappings

Definition 7. Let J be an arbitrary index set and $F_{A_i} \in FPFS(X, E)$ for all $i \in J$.

- (1) The union of F_{A_i} 's, denoted by $\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}$, is the FPFS set, defined by the membership and approximate functions $\mu_{\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} A_i}(e) = \sup_{i \in J} \{\mu_{A_i}(e)\}$ and $f_{\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} A_i}(e) = \vee_{i \in J} f_{A_i}(e)$ for every $e \in E$, respectively.
- (2) The intersection of F_{A_i} 's, denoted by $\widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}$, is the FPFS set, defined by the membership and approximate functions $\mu_{\widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} A_i}(e) = \inf_{i \in J} \{\mu_{A_i}(e)\}$ and $f_{\widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} A_i}(e) = \wedge_{i \in J} f_{A_i}(e)$ for every $e \in E$, respectively.

Proposition 2. Let J be an arbitrary index set and $F_{A_i} \in FPFS(X, E)$ for all $i \in J$. Then

- (1) $(\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i})^c = \widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}^c$.
- (2) $(\widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} F_{A_i})^c = \widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}^c$.

Proof.

(1) Put $F_B = (\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i})^c$ and $F_C = \widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}^c$. Then for all $e \in E$,

$$\mu_B(e) = 1 - \mu_{\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} A_i}(e) = 1 - \sup_{i \in J} \{\mu_{A_i}(e)\} = \inf_{i \in J} \{1 - \mu_{A_i}(e)\} = \inf_{i \in J} \{\mu_{A_i^c}(e)\} = \mu_C(e)$$

and

$$f_B(e) = \bar{1} - f_{\widetilde{\cup}_{i \in J} A_i}(e) = \bar{1} - \vee_{i \in J} f_{A_i}(e) = \wedge_{i \in J} (\bar{1} - f_{A_i}(e)) = \wedge_{i \in J} f_{A_i^c}(e) = f_{\widetilde{\cap}_{i \in J} A_i^c}(e) = f_C(e).$$

This completes the proof. The other can be proved similarly

Definition 8. The FPFS set $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$ is called FPFS point if A is a fuzzy point in E and $f_A(e)$ is a fuzzy point in X for $e \in \text{supp}A$. If $A = \{e\}$, $\mu_A(e) = \beta \in (0, 1]$ and $f_A(e)(x) = \alpha \in (0, 1]$, then we denote this FPFS point by $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$.

Definition 9. Let $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta, F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. We say that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \widetilde{\in} F_A$ read as $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ belongs to F_A if $\beta \leq \mu_A(e)$ and $\alpha \leq f_A(e)(x)$.

Proposition 3. Every non empty FPFS set F_A can be expressed as the union of all the FPFS points which belong to F_A .

Proof. This follows from the fact that any fuzzy set is the union of fuzzy points which belong to it [25].

Definition 10. Let $F_A, F_B \in FPFS(X, E)$. F_A is said to be FPFS quasi-coincident with F_B , denoted by $F_A q F_B$, if there exists $e \in E$ such that $\mu_A(e) + \mu_B(e) > 1$ or there exists $x \in X$ such that $f_A(e)(x) + f_B(e)(x) > 1$. If F_A is not FPFS quasi-coincident with F_B , then we write $F_A \bar{q} F_B$.

Definition 11. Let $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta, F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ is said to be FPFS quasi-coincident with F_A , denoted by $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_A$, if $\beta + \mu_A(e) > 1$ or $\alpha + f_A(e)(x) > 1$. If $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ is not FPFS quasi-coincident with F_A , then we write $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \bar{q} F_A$.

Proposition 4. Let $F_A, F_B \in FPFS(X, E)$, Then the following are true.

- (1) $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} F_B \Leftrightarrow F_A \bar{q} F_B^c$.
- (2) $F_A q F_B \Rightarrow F_A \tilde{\cap} F_B \neq F_\emptyset$.
- (3) $F_A \bar{q} F_A^c$.
- (4) $F_A q F_B \Leftrightarrow$ there exists an $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} F_A$ such that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$.
- (5) For all $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \in FPFS(X, E)$, $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} F_A^c \Leftrightarrow e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \bar{q} F_A$.
- (6) $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} F_B \Rightarrow$ If $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_A$, then $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$ for all $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \in FPFS(X, E)$.

Proof.

- (1) $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} F_B \Leftrightarrow$ for all $e \in E$, $A \leq B$ and $f_A(e) \leq f_B(e)$
 \Leftrightarrow for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$, $\mu_A(e) \leq \mu_B(e)$ and $f_A(e)(x) \leq f_B(e)(x)$
 \Leftrightarrow for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$, $\mu_A(e) - \mu_B(e) \leq 0$ and $f_A(e) - f_B(e) \leq 0$
 \Leftrightarrow for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$, $\mu_A(e) + 1 - \mu_B(e) \leq 1$ and $f_A(e)(x) + 1 - f_B(e)(x) \leq 1$
 $\Leftrightarrow F_A \bar{q} F_B^c$.
- (2) Let $F_A q F_B$. Then there exists an $e \in E$ and $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(e) + \mu_B(e) > 1$ or $f_A(e)(x) + f_B(e)(x) > 1$. If $\mu_A(e) + \mu_B(e) > 1$, then $A \wedge B \neq \bar{0}$ and the proof is easy. If $f_A(e)(x) + f_B(e)(x) > 1$, then $f_A(e) \wedge f_B(e) \neq \bar{0}$. Hence $F_A \tilde{\cap} F_B \neq F_\emptyset$.
- (3) Suppose that $F_A q F_A^c$. Then there exists $e \in E$ and $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(e) + 1 - \mu_A(e) > 1$ or $f_A(e)(x) + 1 - f_A(e)(x) > 1$. This is contradiction.
- (4) If $F_A q F_B$, then there exist an $e \in E$ and $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(e) + \mu_B(e) > 1$ or $f_A(e)(x) + f_B(e)(x) > 1$. Put $\beta = \mu_A(e)$ and $\alpha = f_A(e)(x)$. Then we have $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} F_A$ and $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$.

Conversely, let $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} F_A$ with $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$. Then $\beta \leq \mu_A(e)$, $\alpha \leq f_A(e)(x)$. Since $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$, $\beta + \mu_B(e) > 1$ or $\alpha + f_B(e)(x) > 1$. Therefore, we have $\mu_A(e) + \mu_B(e) > 1$ or $f_A(e)(x) + f_B(e)(x) > 1$. This shows that $F_A q F_B$.

- (5) It is obvious from (1).
- (6) Let $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta, F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$ and $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_A$. Then $\beta + \mu_A(e) > 1$ or $\alpha + f_A(e)(x) > 1$. Since $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} F_B$, $\beta + \mu_B(e) > 1$ or $\alpha + f_B(e)(x) > 1$. Hence we have $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$.

Proposition 5. Let $\{F_{A_i} : i \in J\}$ be a family of FPFS sets in $FPFS(X, E)$ where J is an index set. Then $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ is quasi-coincident with $\tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}$ if and only if there exists some $F_{A_i} \in \{F_{A_i} : i \in J\}$ such that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_{A_i}$.

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 12. Let $FPFS(X, E)$ and $FPFS(Y, K)$ be families of all FPFS sets over X and Y , respectively. Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : E \rightarrow K$ be two functions. Then a FPFS mapping $f_{up} : FPFS(X, E) \rightarrow FPFS(Y, K)$ is defined as:

(1) for $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$, the image of F_A under the f_{up} is the FPFS set G_S over Y defined by the approximate function,

$\forall k \in K$ and,

$$g_S(k)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(y)} \left(\bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A}} f_A(e) \right)(x), & \text{if } u^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A} \neq \emptyset; \\ \bar{0}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $p(A) = S$ is fuzzy set in K .

(2) for $G_S \in FPFS(Y, K)$, then the pre-image of G_S under the f_{up} is the FPFS set F_A over X defined by the approximate function, $\forall e \in E$. $f_A(e)(x) = g_S(p(e))(u(x))$ where $A = p^{-1}(S)$ is fuzzy set in E .

If u and p is injective, then the FPFS mapping f_{up} is said to be injective. If u and p is surjective, then the FPFS mapping f_{up} is said to be surjective. The FPFS mapping f_{up} is called constant, if u and p are constant.

Theorem 1. Let X and Y crips sets F_A , $F_{A_i} \in FPFS(X, E)$, G_S , $G_{S_i} \in FPFS(Y, K)$ $\forall i \in J$, where J is an index set. Let $f_{up} : FPFS(X, E) \rightarrow FPFS(Y, K)$ be a FPFS mapping. Then,

- (1) If $F_{A_1} \tilde{\subset} F_{A_2}$ then $f_{up}(F_{A_1}) \tilde{\subset} f_{up}(F_{A_2})$.
- (2) If $G_{S_1} \tilde{\subset} G_{S_2}$ then $f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_1}) \tilde{\subset} f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_2})$.
- (3) $F_A \tilde{\subset} f_{up}^{-1}(f_{up}(F_A))$, the equality holds if f_{up} is injective.
- (4) $f_{up}(f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)) \tilde{\subset} G_S$, the equality holds if f_{up} is surjective.
- (5) $f_{up}(\tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}) = \tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} f_{up}(F_{A_i})$.
- (6) $f_{up}(\tilde{\cap}_{i \in J} F_{A_i}) \tilde{\subset} \tilde{\cap}_{i \in J} f_{up}(F_{A_i})$, the equality holds if f_{up} is injective.
- (7) $f_{up}^{-1}(\tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} G_{S_i}) = \tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_i})$.
- (8) $f_{up}^{-1}(\tilde{\cap}_{i \in J} G_{S_i}) = \tilde{\cap}_{i \in J} f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_i})$.
- (9) $(f_{up}^{-1}(G_S))^c = f_{up}^{-1}(G_S^c)$.
- (10) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_{\tilde{K}}) = F_{\tilde{E}}$.
- (11) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_{\emptyset}) = F_{\emptyset}$.
- (12) $f_{up}(F_{\tilde{E}}) \tilde{\subset} G_{\tilde{K}}$, the equality holds if f_{up} is surjective.
- (13) $f_{up}(F_{\emptyset}) = G_{\emptyset}$.

Proof. We only prove (3),(5),(7),(9),(10) and (11). The others can be proved similarly.

(3) Put $G_S = f_{up}(F_A)$ and $F_B = f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)$. Since $A \leq p^{-1}(p(A)) = p^{-1}(S) = B$, it is sufficient to show $f_A(e) \leq f_B(e)$ for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} f_B(e)(x) &= g_S(p(e))(u(x)) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(u(x))} \left(\bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(p(e)) \cap \limsup \tilde{A}} f_A(e) \right)(x) \\ &\geq f_A(e)(x) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

(5) Put $G_{S_i} = f_{up}(F_{A_i})$ and $G_S = f_{up}(\tilde{\cup}_{i \in J}(F_{A_i}))$. Then $S = p(\vee A_i) = \vee p(A_i) = \vee S_i$ and for all $k \in K$ and $y \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_B(k)(y) &= \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(y)} \left(\bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A}} (\bigvee_{i \in J} f_{A_i}(e))(x) \right) ; \text{ if } u^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(y)} \left(\bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A}} (\bigvee_{i \in J} (f_{A_i}(e))(x)) \right) ; \text{ if } u^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \bigvee_{i \in J} \left(\bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(y)} \left(\bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A}} (f_{A_i}(e))(x) \right) \right) ; \text{ if } u^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \bigvee_{i \in J} \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(y)} \left(\bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A}} (f_{A_i}(e))(x) \right) ; \text{ if } u^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, p^{-1}(k) \cap \limsup \tilde{A} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 ; \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= (\vee_{i \in J} g_{B_i})(y)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

(7) Put $F_{A_i} = f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_i})$ and $F_A = f_{up}^{-1}(\tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} G_{S_i})$. Then $A = p^{-1}(\vee S_i) = \vee p^{-1}(S_i) = \vee A_i$ and for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_A(e)(x) &= \bigvee_{i \in J} g_{S_i}(p(e))(u(x)) \\
&= \bigvee_{i \in J} (g_{S_i}(p(e))(u(x))) \\
&= \bigvee_{i \in J} f_{A_i}(e)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

(9) Put $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S) = F_A$ and $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S^c) = F_B$. Then for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$,

$$f_B(e)(x) = f_{p^{-1}(S^c)}(e)(x) = f_{(p^{-1}(S))^c}(e)(x) = f_{A^c}(e)(x)$$

where $p^{-1}(S)$ and $p^{-1}(S^c)$ are fuzzy sets in E . This shows that the approximate functions of F_B and F_A^c are equal. This completes the proof.

(10) Put $F_A = f_{up}^{-1}(G_{\tilde{K}})$. Then for all $e \in E$ and $x \in X$, $f_A(e)(x) = g_{\tilde{K}}(p(e))(u(x)) = 1$. This shows that $F_A = F_{\tilde{E}}$.

(11) Since $p^{-1}(K)$ is fuzzy empty set i.e. $\bar{0}$, the proof is clear.

4 FPFS topological spaces

Definition 13. A FPFS topological space is a pair (X, τ) where X is a nonempty set and τ is a family of FPFS sets over X satisfying the following properties:

(T1) $F_\emptyset, F_{\tilde{E}} \in \tau$.

(T2) If $F_A, F_B \in \tau$, then $F_A \tilde{\cap} F_B \in \tau$.

(T3) If $F_{A_i} \in \tau, \forall i \in J$, then $\tilde{\cup}_{i \in J} F_{A_i} \in \tau$.

Then τ is called a FPFS topology on X . Every member of τ is called FPFS open in (X, τ) . F_B is called FPFS closed in (X, τ) if $F_B^c \in \tau$.

Example 1. The families $\tau_{indiscrete} = \{F_\emptyset, F_{\tilde{E}}\}$ and $\tau_{discrete} = FPFS(X, E)$ are FPFS topology on X .

Example 2. Assume that $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is a universal set and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a set of parameters. If

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A_1} &= \{((e_1)_{0,2}, \{(x_1)_{0,3}, (x_3)_{0,5}\}), ((e_2)_{0,3}, \{(x_1)_{0,7}, (x_4)_{0,6}\}), ((e_3)_{0,4}, \{(x_2)_{0,2}\})\}, \\ F_{A_2} &= \{((e_1)_{0,2}, \{(x_1)_{0,3}, (x_2)_{0,7}, (x_3)_{0,6}\}), ((e_2)_{0,5}, \{(x_1)_{0,7}, (x_4)_{0,6}\}), ((e_3)_{0,4}, \{(x_1)_{0,8}, (x_2)_{0,5}\})\}, \\ F_{A_3} &= \{((e_1)_{0,7}, \{(x_1)_1, (x_3)_{0,5}\}), ((e_2)_{0,3}, 1_X), ((e_3)_{0,9}, \{(x_2)_{0,2}, (x_3)_{0,9}\})\}, \\ F_{A_4} &= \{((e_1)_{0,7}, \{(x_1)_1, (x_2)_{0,7}, (x_3)_{0,6}\}), ((e_2)_{0,5}, 1_X), ((e_3)_{0,9}, \{(x_1)_{0,8}, (x_2)_{0,5}, (x_3)_{0,9}\})\}, \end{aligned}$$

then $\tau = \{F_\emptyset, F_{\tilde{E}}, F_{A_1}, F_{A_2}, F_{A_3}, F_{A_4}, F_{\tilde{E}}\}$ is a FPFS topology on X .

Theorem 2. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and τ' be family of all FPFS closed sets. Then;

- (1) $F_\emptyset, F_{\tilde{E}} \in \tau'$,
- (2) If $F_A, F_B \in \tau'$, then $F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B \in \tau'$,
- (3) If $F_{A_i} \in \tau'$, $\forall i \in J$, then $\cap_{i \in J} F_{A_i} \in \tau'$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 14. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. The FPFS closure of F_A in (X, τ) , denoted by $\overline{F_A}$, is the intersection of all FPFS closed supersets of F_A .

Clearly, $\overline{F_A}$ is the smallest FPFS closed set over X which contains F_A .

Theorem 3. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and $F_A, F_B \in FPFS(X, E)$. Then,

- (1) $\overline{F_\emptyset} = F_\emptyset$ and $\overline{F_{\tilde{E}}} = F_{\tilde{E}}$.
- (2) $F_A \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_A}$.
- (3) $\overline{\overline{F_A}} = \overline{F_A}$.
- (4) If $F_A \tilde{\subset} F_B$, then $\overline{F_A} \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_B}$.
- (5) F_A is a FPFS closed set if and only if $F_A = \overline{F_A}$.
- (6) $\overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B} = \overline{F_A} \tilde{\cup} \overline{F_B}$.

Proof. The statements (1),(2),(3) and (4) are obvious from the definition of FPFS closure.

(5) Let F_A be a FPFS closed set. Since $\overline{F_A}$ is the smallest FPFS closed set which contains F_A , then $\overline{F_A} \tilde{\subset} F_A$. Therefore, we have $F_A = \overline{F_A}$.

(6) Since $F_A \tilde{\subset} F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B$ and $F_B \tilde{\subset} F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B$ by (4), $\overline{F_A} \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B}$, $\overline{F_B} \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B}$ and hence $\overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B} \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B}$.

Conversely, since $\overline{F_A}$, $\overline{F_B}$ are FPFS closed sets, $\overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B}$ is a FPFS closed set. Again since $F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B}$ by (4), $\overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B} \tilde{\subset} \overline{F_A \tilde{\cup} F_B}$.

Definition 15. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space. A FPFS set F_A in $FPFS(X, E)$ is called FPFS-Q-neighborhood (briefly, FPFS-Q-nbd) of a FPFS set F_B if there exists a FPFS open set F_C in τ such that $F_B q F_C$ and $F_C \tilde{\subset} F_A$.

Theorem 4. Let $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta, F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. Then $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} \overline{F_A}$ if and only if each FPFS-Q-nbd of $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ is FPFS quasi-coincident with F_A .

Proof. Let $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} \overline{F_A}$. Suppose that F_C is a FPFS-Q-nbd of $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ and $F_C \bar{q} F_A$. Then there exists a FPFS open set F_B such that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B \tilde{\subseteq} F_C$. Since $F_C \bar{q} F_A$, by Proposition 4(1), $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} F_C^c \tilde{\subseteq} F_B^c$. Again since $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B$, $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ does not belong to F_B^c . This is a contradiction with $\overline{F_A} \tilde{\subseteq} F_B^c$.

Conversely, let each Q-nbd of $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ be FPFS quasi-coincident with F_A . Suppose that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ does not belong to $\overline{F_A}$. Then there exists a FPFS closed set F_B which is containing F_A such that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ does not belong to F_B . By Proposition 4(5), we have $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B^c$. Then F_B^c is a FPFS-Q-nbd of $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ and by Proposition 4(1), $F_A \bar{q} F_B^c$. This is a contradiction with the hypothesis.

Definition 16. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. The FPFS interior of F_A , denoted by F_A° , is the union of all FPFS open subsets of F_A . Clearly, F_A° is the largest FPSFS open set contained in F_A .

Theorem 5. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and $F_A, F_B \in FPFS(X, E)$. Then,

- (1) $(F_\emptyset)^\circ = F_\emptyset$ and $(F_{\tilde{E}})^\circ = F_{\tilde{E}}$.
- (2) $F_A^\circ \tilde{\subset} F_A$.
- (3) $(F_A^\circ)^\circ = F_A^\circ$.
- (4) If $F_A \tilde{\subset} F_B$, then $F_A^\circ \tilde{\subset} F_B^\circ$.
- (5) F_A is a FPFS open set if and only if $F_A = F_A^\circ$.
- (6) $(F_A \cap F_B)^\circ = F_A^\circ \cap F_B^\circ$.

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 3.

Theorem 6. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. Then,

- (1) $(F_A^\circ)^c = \overline{F_A^c}$.
- (2) $(\overline{F_A})^c = (F_A^c)^\circ$.

Proof. We only prove (1). The other is similar.

$$\begin{aligned} (F_A^\circ)^c &= (\tilde{\cup} \{F_B \mid F_B \in \tau, F_A \tilde{\subset} F_B\})^c \\ &= \tilde{\cap} \{F_B^c \mid F_B \in \tau, F_A \tilde{\subset} F_B\} \\ &= \tilde{\cap} \{F_B^c \mid F_B^c \in \tau', F_B^c \tilde{\subset} F_A^c\} \\ &= \overline{F_A^c} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 17. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space. A subcollection \mathcal{B} of τ is called a base for τ if every member of τ can be expressed as a union of members of \mathcal{B} .

Example 3. If we consider the FPFS topology τ in Example 2, then one easily see that the family $\mathcal{B} = \{F_\emptyset, F_{A_1}, F_{A_2}, F_{A_3}, F_{\tilde{E}}\}$ is a basis for τ .

Proposition 6. Let (X, τ) be a FPFS topological space and \mathcal{B} is subfamily of τ . \mathcal{B} is a base for τ if and only if for each $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$ in $FPFS(X, E)$ and for each FPFS open Q-nbd F_A of $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$, there exists a $F_B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta q F_B \tilde{\subseteq} F_A$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be a base for τ , $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \tilde{\in} FPFS(X, E)$ and F_A be a FPFS open Q-nbd of $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta$. Then there exists a subfamily \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} such that $F_A = \tilde{\cup} \{F_B \mid F_B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$. Suppose that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \bar{q} F_B$ for all $F_B \in \mathcal{B}'$. Then $\beta + \mu_B(e) \leq 1$ and $\alpha + f_B(e)(x) \leq 1$

for every $F_B \in \mathcal{B}'$. But this is contradiction with $\mu_A(e) = \sup \{\mu_B(e) | F_B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$ and $f_A(e)(x) = \sup \{f_B(e)(x) | F_B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$.

Conversely, If \mathcal{B} is not a base for τ , then there exists a $F_A \in \tau$ such that $F_C = \tilde{\cup} \{F_B \in \mathcal{B} : F_B \tilde{\subseteq} F_A\} \neq F_A$. Since $F_C \neq F_A$, there exists $e \in E$ and $x \in X$ such that $\mu_C(e) < \mu_A(e)$ or $f_C(e)(x) < f_A(e)(x)$. Put $\beta = 1 - \mu_C(e)$ or $\alpha = 1 - f_C(e)(x)$. Then in both case, we obtain that $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta qF_A$ and $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \bar{q}F_C$. Therefore, we have $e_{x_\alpha}^\beta \bar{q}F_B$ for all $F_B \in \mathcal{B}$ which contained in F_A . This is a contradiction.

Definition 18. Let (X, τ_1) and (Y, τ_2) be two FPFS topological spaces. A FPFS mapping $f_{up} : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is called FPFS continuous if $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S) \in \tau_1$, for all $G_S \in \tau_2$.

Example 4. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $K = \{k_1, k_2\}$ and $\tau_1 = \{F_\emptyset, F_{\bar{E}}, F_A\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\tilde{0}_K, \tilde{1}_K, G_S\}$ be FPFS topologies on X and Y respectively, where $F_A = \{(e_1)_{0,3}, \{(x_2)_{0,3}, (x_3)_{0,5}\}, ((e_2)_{0,2}, \{(x_1)_{0,7}, (x_2)_{0,4}\})\}$, $G_S = \{((k_1)_{0,2}, \{(y_1)_{0,4}, (y_2)_{0,7}\}), ((k_2)_{0,3}, \{(y_1)_{0,3}, (y_3)_{0,5}\})\}$. Define $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : E \rightarrow K$ as $u(x_1) = y_2$, $u(x_2) = y_1$, $u(x_3) = y_3$ and $p(e_1) = k_2$, $p(e_2) = k_1$. Then the FPFS mapping $f_{up} : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is FPFS continuous.

Note that the constant mapping $f_{up} : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is not continuous in general. As the following example shows.

Example 5. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $K = \{k_1, k_2\}$ and $\tau_1 = \{F_\emptyset, F_{\bar{E}}\}$, $\tau_2 = \{F_\emptyset, F_{\bar{K}}, G_S\}$ be topologies on X and Y respectively, where $G_S = \{((k_1)_{0,2}, \{(y_1)_{0,4}, (y_2)_1\}), ((k_2)_{0,5}, \{(y_2)_{0,7}, (y_3)_{0,4}\})\}$. Define $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : E \rightarrow K$ as $u(x_1) = u(x_2) = u(x_3) = y_2$ and $p(e_1) = p(e_2) = k_1$. Then the FPFS mapping $f_{up} : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is a constant FPFS mapping and is not continuous.

Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. A constant fuzzy set on E taking value α will be denoted by α_E .

Definition 19. Let $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. F_A is called $\alpha\beta$ -A-universal FPFS set if $\mu_A(e) = \alpha$ and $f_A(e) = \beta_X$ for all $e \in A$, denoted by $F_{\alpha\beta_A}$.

Definition 20. (see [5]) A FPFS topology is called enriched if it satisfies $F_{\alpha\beta_A} \in \tau$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$.

Theorem 7. Let (X, τ_1) be a enriched FPFS topological space, (Y, τ_2) be a FPFS topological space and $f_{up} : FPFS(X, E) \rightarrow FPFS(Y, K)$ be a constant FPFS mapping. Then f_{up} is FPFS continuous.

Proof. Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$, $p : E \rightarrow K$ be constant mapping defined as $u(x) = y_0$, $p(e) = k_0$ and $G_S \in \tau_2$. Put $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S) = F_A$. Then $A = p^{-1}(S) = \alpha_E$ where $\alpha = \mu_S(k)$ and $f_A(e)(x) = g_S(p(e))(u(x)) = g_S(k_0)(y_0) = \beta$ for all $e \in E$. Hence $F_A = F_{\alpha\beta_E} \in \tau_1$ and so $f_{up} : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is FPFS continuous.

Theorem 8. Let (X, τ_1) and (Y, τ_2) be two FPFS topological spaces and $f_{up} : FPFS(X, E) \rightarrow FPFS(Y, K)$ be a FPFS mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f_{up} is FPFS continuous,
- (2) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)$ is FPFS closed for every FPFS closed set G_S over Y ,
- (3) $f_{up}(\overline{F_A}) \tilde{\subseteq} \overline{f_{up}(F_A)}$, $\forall F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$,
- (4) $\overline{f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)} \tilde{\subseteq} f_{up}^{-1}(\overline{G_S})$, $\forall G_S \in FPFS(Y, K)$,
- (5) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S^\circ) \tilde{\subseteq} (f_{up}^{-1}(G_S))^\circ$, $\forall G_S \in FPFS(Y, K)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is obvious from Theorem 1 (9).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $F_A \in FPFS(X, E)$. Since $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} f_{up}^{-1}(f_{up}(F_A))$, $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} f_{up}^{-1}(\overline{f_{up}(F_A)}) \in \tau'_1$. Therefore we have $\overline{F_A} \tilde{\subseteq} f_{up}^{-1}(\overline{f_{up}(F_A)})$.

By Theorem 1 (4), we get $f_{up}(\overline{F_A}) \tilde{\subseteq} f_{up}(f_{up}^{-1}(\overline{f_{up}(F_A)})) \tilde{\subseteq} \overline{f_{up}(F_A)}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $G_S \in FPFS(Y, K)$. If we choose $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)$ instead of F_A in (3), then $f_{up}(\overline{f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)}) \tilde{\subset} \overline{f_{up}(f_{up}^{-1}(G_S))} \tilde{\subset} \overline{G_S}$. Hence by Theorem 1(3), $\overline{f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)} \tilde{\subset} f_{up}^{-1}(f_{up}(\overline{f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)})) \tilde{\subset} f_{up}^{-1}(\overline{G_S})$.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5) These follow from Theorem 1 (9) and Theorem 6.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let $G_S \in \tau_2$. Since G_S is a FPFS open set by (5) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S) = f_{up}^{-1}(G_S^\circ) \tilde{\subset} f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)$. Consequently, $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S)$ is a FPFS open and so f_{up} is FPFS continuous.

Theorem 9. Let $f_{up} : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ be a FPFS mapping and \mathcal{B} be a base for τ_2 . Then f_{up} is FPFS continuous if and only if $f_{up}^{-1}(G_S) \in \tau_1$, for all $G_S \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 21. A family \mathcal{C} of FPFS sets is a cover of a FPFS set F_A if $F_A \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{\cup} \{F_{A_i} : F_{A_i} \in \mathcal{C}, i \in J\}$. It is a FPFS open cover if each member of \mathcal{C} is a FPFS open set. A subcover of \mathcal{C} is a subfamily of \mathcal{C} which is also a cover.

Definition 22. A FPFS topological space (X, τ) is FPFS-compact if each FPFS open cover of $F_{\tilde{E}}$ has a finite subcover.

Example 6. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ and $F_{A_n} = \{((e_1)_1, \{(x_1)_1\}), ((e_2)_{\frac{1}{2}}, \{(x_1)_1, (x_2)_{\frac{1}{2}}\}), \dots, ((e_n)_{\frac{1}{n}}, \{(x_1)_1, (x_2)_{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, (x_n)_{\frac{1}{n}}\}) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\tau = \{F_{A_n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{F_\emptyset, F_{\tilde{E}}\}$ is a FPFS topology on X and (X, τ) is FPFS-compact.

Definition 23. A family \mathcal{C} of FPFS sets has the finite intersection property if the intersection of the members of each finite subfamily of \mathcal{C} is not empty FPFS set.

Theorem 10. A FPFS topological space is FPFS compact if and only if each family of FPFS closed sets with the finite intersection property has a non empty FPFS intersection.

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is a family of FPFS sets in a FPFS topological space (X, τ) , then \mathcal{C} is a cover of $F_{\tilde{E}}$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $\tilde{\cup} \{F_{A_i} : F_{A_i} \in \mathcal{C}, i \in J\} = F_{\tilde{E}}$.
- (2) $(\tilde{\cup} \{F_{A_i} : F_{A_i} \in \mathcal{C}, i \in J\})^c = F_{\tilde{E}}^c = F_\emptyset$.
- (3) $\tilde{\cap} \{F_{A_i}^c : F_{A_i} \in \mathcal{C}, i \in J\} = F_\emptyset$.

Hence this shows that FPFS topological space is FPFS compact if and only if each family of FPFS open sets over X such that no finite subfamily covers $F_{\tilde{E}}$, fails to be a cover, and this is true if and only if each family of FPFS closed sets which has the finite intersection property has a nonempty FPFS intersection.

Theorem 11. Let (X, τ_1) and (Y, τ_2) be FPFS topological spaces and $f_{up} : FPFS(X, E) \rightarrow FPFS(Y, K)$ be a FPFS mapping. If (X, τ_1) is FPFS compact and f_{up} is FPFS continuous surjection, then (Y, τ_2) is FPFS compact.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{G_{S_i} : i \in J\}$ be a cover of $G_{\tilde{K}}$ by FPFS open sets. Then since f_{up} is FPFS continuous, $\{f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_i}) : G_{S_i} \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a cover of $F_{\tilde{E}}$ by FPFS open sets. Again since (X, τ_1) FPFS compact, there exist a finite subset J_0 of J such that $\{f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_i}) : i \in J_0\}$ covers $F_{\tilde{E}}$. Then we have $f_{up}(\tilde{\cup} \{f_{up}^{-1}(G_{S_i}) : i \in J_0\}) = f_{up}(F_{\tilde{E}})$ and so $\tilde{\cup} \{G_{S_i} : i \in J_0\} = G_{\tilde{K}}$. This shows that (Y, τ_2) is FPFS compact.

References

- [1] Acar, U., Koyuncu, F. and Tanay, B. *Soft sets and soft rings*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59**, 3458-3463, 2010.
- [2] Aktaş, H. and Çağman, N. *Soft sets and soft groups*, Inform. Sci. **177** (13), 2726-2735, 2007.
- [3] Atmaca, S. and Zorlutuna, İ. *On fuzzy soft topological spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **5** (2), 377-386, 2013.
- [4] Aygünoglu, A. and Aygün, H. *Introduction to fuzzy soft groups*, Comput. Math. Appl. **58**, 1279-1286, 2009.
- [5] Varol, B. P. and Aygün, H. *Fuzzy soft topology*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **41** (3), 407-419, 2012.
- [6] Varol, B. P., Shostak, A. and , H. *A new approach to soft topology*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **41** (5), 731-741, 2012.
- [7] Çağman, N., Karataş, S. and Enginoglu, S. *Soft topology*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62**, 351-358, 2011.
- [8] Çağman, N., Çitak, F. and Enginoglu, S. *FP set theory and its applications*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **2** (2), 219-226, 2011.
- [9] Çağman, N. and Deli, İ. *Products of FP sets and their applications*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **41** (3), 365-374, 2012.,
- [10] N. Çağman and F. Citak and S. Enginoğlu, *Fuzzy parameterized fuzzy soft set theory and its applications*, Turkish Journal of Fuzzy Systems 1(1) 21-35 (2010).
- [11] Çağman, N. and Deli, İ. *Means of FP sets and their applications*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **41** (5), 615-625, 2012.
- [12] Çağman, N. and Enginoglu, S. *Soft set theory and uni-int decision making*, Eur. J. Oper. Res. **207**, 848-855, 2010.
- [13] Çağman, N. and Enginoglu, S. *Soft matrix theory and its decision making*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59**, 3308-3314, 2010.
- [14] Çelik, Y., Ekiz, C. and Yamak, S. *A new view on soft rings*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **40** (2), 273-286, 2011.
- [15] Chang, C. L. *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24**, 182-190, 1968.
- [16] Chen, D., Tsang, E. C. C., Yeung, D. S. and Wang, X. *The parametrization reduction of soft sets and its applications*, Comput. Math. Appl. **49**, 757-763, 2005.
- [17] Feng, F., Jun, Y.B. and Zhao, X. *Soft semirings*, Comput. Math. Appl. **56**, 2621-2628, 2008.
- [18] Feng, F., Jun, Y.B., Liu, X. and Li, L.F. *An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set based decision making*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **234**, 10-20, 2010.
- [19] Georgiou, D. N., Megaritis, A.C. and Petropoulos, V.I. *On soft topological spaces*, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. (2013), in press.
- [20] Inan, E. and Öztürk, M. A. *Fuzzy soft rings and fuzzy soft ideals*, Neural Comput. and Applic DOI 10.1007/s00521-011-0550-5.
- [21] Jun, Y. B. and Park, C.H. *Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras*, Inform. Sci. **178**, 2466-2475, 2008.
- [22] Kong, Z., Gao, L.Q. and Wang, L.F. *Comment on a fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **223**, 540-542, 2009.
- [23] Maji, P. K., Roy, A. R. and Biswas, R. *An application of soft sets in desicion making problem*, Comput. Math. Appl. **44**, 1077-1083, 2002.
- [24] Maji, P. K., Biswas, R. and Roy, A. R. *Fuzzy soft sets*, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, **203** (2), 589-602, 2001.
- [25] Ming, P. B. and Ming, L. Y. *Fuzzy topology I.Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **76**, 571-599, 1980.
- [26] Molodtsov, D. *Soft set theory-First results*, Comput. Math. Appl. **37** (4/5), 19-31, 1999.
- [27] Shabir, M. and Naz, M. *On soft topological spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61**, 1786-1799, 2011.
- [28] Roy, A.R. and Maji, P.K. *A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **203**, 412-418, 2007.
- [29] Tanay, B. and Kandemir, M. B. *Topological structures of fuzzy soft sets*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61**, 412-418, 2011.
- [30] Şimşekler, T. and Yüksel, S. *Fuzzy soft topological spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **5** (1), 87-96, 2013.
- [31] Xiao, Z., Gong, K. and Zou, Y. *A combined forecasting approach based on fuzzy soft sets*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **228**, 326-333, 2009.
- [32] Zadeh, L. A. *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8**, 338-353, 1965.
- [33] Zorlutuna, İ., Akdag, M., Min, W. K. and Atmaca, S. *Remarks on soft topological spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **3** (2), 171-185, 2011.